## BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN	THE	MATTE	R OF	THE	: )	
					)	
LOC	CAL	ASSIST	ANCE	AND	PLANNING)	
(	COMM	ITTEE	MEET	ING		)
-						)

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 20, 1997

9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM

8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR

CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 41083

## APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

## STAFF PRESENT

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL

MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

## INDEX

						PA	GE_	NO.
CALL	ТО	ORDER	&	EX	PARTE	COMMUNICATIONS		7

- ITEM 1: REPORT FROM DIVERSION, PLANNING 10 AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.
- ITEM 2: REPORT ON WASTE PREVENTION 16
  ACTIVITIES OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND
  MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.
- ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA: 22
- ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF UNION CITY, ALAMEDA COUNTY.
- ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF PLEASANT HILL, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY.
- ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN RAMON, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY.
- ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
  RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
  REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD
  HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
  ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MCFARLAND, CONTRA COSTA
  COUNTY.
- ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
  RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL
  TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE
  REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
  BEVERLY HILLS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
  RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD

WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MAYWOOD, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF WEST COVINA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF AUBURN, PLACER COUNTY.

ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF COLFAX, PLACER COUNTY.

ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO COUNTY.

ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, VENTURA COUNTY.

ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE PETITION TO REDUCE THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR ALPINE COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	22
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	24
ACTION	25

ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE DEL NORTE REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT.

STAFF PRESENTATION	25
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	29, 34
DISCUSSION	31, 35
ACTION	34

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE TIME EXTENSION FOR MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1989 FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE, LASSEN COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	39
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	46

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE, LASSEN COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	39
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	43
ACTION	45

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PETITION FOR REDUCTION OF THE 2000 GOAL FOR THE CITY OF GONZALES, MONTEREY COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	46
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	48

ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PETITION FOR REDUCTION OF THE 2000 GOAL FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD, MONTEREY COUNTY.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY DISCUSSION

ACTION 50

5

ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD, MONTEREY COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 50
PUBLIC TESTIMONY
DISCUSSION
ACTION 51

ITEM 22: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LOS ALTOS, SANTA CLARA COUNTY. ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CHANGE THE BASE YEAR FROM 1990 TO 1995 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF OXNARD, VENTURA COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 52
PUBLIC TESTIMONY
DISCUSSION
ACTION 53

ITEM 25: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.

STAFF PRESENTATION 57
PUBLIC TESTIMONY
DISCUSSION 54, 63
ACTION 67

ITEM 26: CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED WINNERS OF THE 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM.

STAFF PRESENTATION 69
PUBLIC TESTIMONY
DISCUSSION 72, 76
ACTION 83

ITEM 27: OPEN DISCUSSION 83

ITEM 28: ADJOURNMENT 83

```
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 20, 1997
 1
 2
                          9:30 A.M.
 3
               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
 4
 5
      THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING
 6
      COMMITTEE OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
 7
      BOARD. LET'S BEGIN BY CALLING THE ROLL, PLEASE.
 8
              THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.
 9
               MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.
10
              THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
11
            MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.
12
              THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.
13
               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.
14
                   BEFORE WE BEGIN, ONE NOTE OF
      HOUSEKEEPING. ITEM 22 HAS BEEN PULLED FROM THE
15
16
      AGENDA, AND I THINK THIS WAS NOTED ON THE AGENDA,
17
      BUT I'M JUST POINTING THAT OUT FOR ANYONE WHO
      HASN'T NOTICED IT.
18
19
                    I HAVE SOME WRITTEN EX PARTES TO
20
      ENTER INTO THE RECORD. WE RECEIVED ON THE LOCAL
21
      ASSISTANCE PLAN FOUR LETTERS WHICH I WANTED TO
22
      ENTER INTO THE RECORD. ONE'S FROM JOHN PINCHES
23 (PHONETIC) OF MENDOCINO COUNTY SUPERVISOR.
THAT'S
```

24 ITEM 25. KEN WELLS OF SONOMA COUNTY, ITEM 25; 25 JERRY MELLO, CITY OF FORT BRAGG, ITEM 25; AND JEFF

- 1 WONG, CITY OF LANCASTER ON OBVIOUSLY THE LOCAL
- 2 ASSISTANCE PLAN. AND WE ALSO RECEIVED A LETTER
- 3 FROM -- SIGNED BY GERALD LEE PALMER OF BCP
- 4 ASSOCIATES REGARDING THE BASE YEAR NUMBERS,
- 5 ESSENTIALLY OBJECTING ACROSS THE BOARD TO OUR
- 6 PROCESS OF ALLOWING ADJUSTMENTS.
- 7 AND I JUST WANTED TO SORT OF BRIEFLY
- 8 RESPOND TO THAT AND SAY THAT THE IMPLICATION HERE
- 9 IS THAT IT'S A FORM OF RELIEF, THAT IT SOMEHOW
- 10 REDUCES THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS.
- 11 THAT'S NOT THE INTENT OF ADJUSTING THE BASE-YEAR
- 12 NUMBERS. THE INTENT IS CLEARLY TO TRY TO BE AS
- 13 ACCURATE AS WE POSSIBLY CAN AND HAVE CREDIBLE
- 14 NUMBERS, NOT LET THE JURISDICTIONS OFF THE HOOK.
- 15 THAT'S NOT WHAT THAT'S ABOUT. WE DO HAVE A NUMBER
- OF THOSE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, BUT I DID WANT TO
- 17 ENTER THAT LETTER INTO THE RECORD. AND I ASSUME
- 18 STAFF HAS GOTTEN A COPY OF IT. IF YOU HAVEN'T,
- 19 WE'LL BE HAPPY TO SUPPLY YOU WITH ONE.
- 20 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'M NOT SURE IF WE HAVE
- 21 ALL THE LETTERS ON THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLANS.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT
- 23 THOSE ALL GET DELIVERED IF YOU DON'T HAVE

THEM. I

WAS ACTUALLY, BEFORE I SAW THIS LETTER THIS
MORNING, GOING TO TOUT THE FACT THAT WE HAD
THESE

1 NUMBER OF BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS ON THE AGENDA 2 TODAY. I THINK OF IT IN A POSITIVE LIGHT. I 3 THINK IT'S SHOWING THAT, AT LEAST FOR A NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS IN THE STATE, THE PROCESS THAT THE 4 BOARD ADOPTED IS WORKING. AND I THINK -- I DON'T 5 б THINK IT'S BEEN PROVED TO BE PERFECT. I THINK 7 THERE'S STILL A LOT OF PROBLEMS OUT THERE, BUT IT'S CERTAINLY, FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE 8 9 UNDERTAKEN TO UTILIZE THE PROCESS, SEEMS TO BE 10 RESULTING IN US SOLVING SOME PROBLEMS HERE. AND 11 WE'VE GOT A NUMBER OF THOSE ON THE AGENDA TODAY, SO JUST WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT AND POINT IT 12 13 OUT. ARE THERE OTHER EX PARTES? 14 MEMBER GOTCH: NO. I THINK THE ONLY ONE 15 16 THAT I HAD RECEIVED THAT HAD NOTED MR. FRAZEE'S NAME AND MINE WAS THE ONE FROM GERALD LEE PALMER, 17 18 BCP ASSOCIATES. 19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE'VE ALL BEEN ADEQUATELY EX PARTE-IZED. NEW VERB. ACTUALLY 20 21 IT'S NOT THAT NEW. I THINK I TOLD THAT JOKE 22 BEFORE.

AGENDA ITEM 1 IS THE ORAL REPORT BY

23

- JUDY FRIEDMAN FOR THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND
- 25 LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION OF THE BOARD.

1	MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
2	CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS IS AN UPDATE
3	ON SOME OF THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVERSION,
4	PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION. FIRST,
5	LOCAL PLANS, AND WE HAVE ELEMENTS OF 20 JURISDIC-
6	TIONS ON TODAY'S AGENDA, AND THIS REPRESENTS 4
7	SRRE'S, 3 HHWE'S, AND 5 NDFE'S.
8	OVER THE LAST MONTH STAFF PROVIDED
9	ASSISTANCE TO MORE THAN 150 JURISDICTIONS ON A
10	VARIETY OF TOPICS, INCLUDING ANNUAL REPORT
11	PREPARATIONS, SUBMITTING OVERDUE ELEMENTS, SLUDGE
12	DIVERSION PETITIONS, AND PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION
13	CONTRACTS FOR COLLECTING MATERIALS. SO WE'VE
BEEN	
14	VERY ACTIVE.
15	STAFF CONTINUES TO WORK ON
PLANNI	NG
16	ELEMENTS SUBMITTED AS A RESULT OF THE BOARD'S
17	ENFORCEMENT POLICY AND ACTION. AND WE
CONTIN	UE TO
18	WORK WITH LOCAL REPRESENTATIVES TO OBTAIN

ELEMENTS OR DOCUMENTS AND MAINTAIN COMPLIANCE

MISSING

19

WITH

20	THE BOARD-ACCEPTED COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE TIME
LINES.	
21	STAFF PROVIDED ANNUAL REPORT
22	PREPARATION TRAINING FOR JURISDICTION STAFF
FROM	
23	RIVERSIDE AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES, AND WE
24	DISCUSSED PREPARATIONS AND PROCESS FOR THEIR
1996 25	ANNUAL REPORT.

1	A FORMAL OFFER OF ASSISTANCE WAS
2	SENT TO THE NEWLY INCORPORATED CITY OF CITRUS
3	HEIGHTS IN SACRAMENTO COUNTY, AND STAFF EXTENDED
4	THE OFFER TO PROVIDE THE CITY WITH ASSISTANCE IN
5	UNDERSTANDING AND COMPLYING WITH THE PLANNING AND
6	IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS OF AB 939.
7	SOME OTHER PLANNING ISSUES. STAFF
8	COMPLETED THE MOST RECENT UPDATE OF THE RURAL
9	COOKBOOK AND MAILED COPIES TO ALL THE JURISDIC-
10	TIONS. THE NEXT PACKET OF MATERIAL FOR INCLUSION
11	INTO THE COOKBOOK IS TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED FOR
12	DISTRIBUTION IN SEPTEMBER. AND THE NEXT PACKET
13	WILL CONTAIN INFORMATION ON NEW PROGRAMS.
14	STAFF COMPLETED THE JUNE 1997
15	INFOCYCLING WEB PAGE FOR IMB AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS
16	REVIEW; AND JURISDICTIONS, INTERESTED PARTIES,
AND	
17	THE PUBLIC WILL NOW BE ABLE TO ACCESS THE
18	QUARTERLY NEWSLETTER VIA THE INTERNET, SO WE'LL
BE	
19	ABLE TO BROADEN OUR READERSHIP HOPEFULLY THAT
WAY	
20	WITHOUT INCREASING COST TO THE BOARD.
21	STAFF HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO SPEAK

ΑT

- THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
- ASSOCIATION'S
- NORTHERN EDUCATIONAL UPDATE MEETING IN
- FAIRFIELD
- ON OCTOBER 3RD, AND THE THEME FOR THE MEETING
- IS
- 25 PARTNERSHIPS, CATALYSTS FOR PROGRESS. THE TOPIC

1

FOR PRESENTATION IS THE COOPERATION BETWEEN THE

2 STATE, LOCAL, AND FEDERAL AGENCIES DURING THE JANUARY FLOODS, ESPECIALLY THOSE DEALING WITH 3 HAZARDOUS WASTE AND HOW SMOOTHLY THINGS WORKED. 4 5 FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, WE'RE HEADING FOR AN EL б NINO YEAR OF INTERESTING PROPORTIONS, SO HOPEFULLY 7 WE WON'T HAVE FLOODS, BUT IT'S GOOD TO BE 8 PREPARED. 9 STAFF COMPLETED A DRAFT OUTLINE FOR 10 A NEW PROJECT WHICH IS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DIVERSION STUDY GUIDE. THIS GUIDE IS UNDER 11 12 DEVELOPMENT IN RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FROM LOCAL 13 GOVERNMENT. THE GUIDE WILL PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO JURISDICTIONS THAT WOULD LIKE TO 14 CONDUCT A NEW DIVERSION STUDY TO QUANTIFY THEIR 15 DIVERTED MATERIALS. A JURISDICTION MAY WANT TO 16 17 CONDUCT A DIVERSION STUDY FOR INTERNAL PLANNING PURPOSES TO MONITOR AND EVALUATE DIVERSION 18 19 PROGRAMS OR PLAN FOR FUTURE DIVERSION PROGRAMS AND 20 FOR OBTAINING A MORE ADEQUATE MEASUREMENT OF THE 21 DIVERSION PROGRESS BEING MADE. 22 UPDATE ON PUBLIC EDUCATION AND 23 PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTATION. DURING THE BRANCH, THE MONTH OF JULY, TEN NEW RECYCLING PROGRAM SITES 24 WERE ADDED TO THE STATE PROGRAM. STAFF 25

RECENTLY

1

RECEIVED BIDS ON AN IFB FOR THE COLLECTION AND

2 PURCHASE OF USED INK JET CARTRIDGES FROM STATE FACILITIES, AND THE HIGH BIDDER WAS GOVERNMENT 3 TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS. THE CONTRACTOR BID A RANGE 4 FROM \$1 TO \$2 PER CARTRIDGE, DEPENDING ON THE 5 MODEL OF THE CARTRIDGE, AND THE CONTRACTOR WILL б 7 SUPPLY BOXES TO MAIL IN THE USED CARTRIDGES AND 8 WILL ALSO PAY FOR THE RETURN SHIPPING. THIS CONTRACT IS A REVENUE 9 GENERATING CONTRACT FOR THE PROGRAM, AND STAFF IS 10 IN THE PROCESS OF NOTIFYING ALL STATE OFFICES OF 11 THIS CONTRACT FOR THE RECYCLING OF THESE ITEMS. 12 SO THIS IS ALSO A NEW ITEM THAT WE'RE STARTING TO 13 PUSH THE RECYCLING OF. SO IT'S PRETTY EXCITING. 14 STAFF IS WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT 15 OF GENERAL SERVICES SURPLUS PROPERTY UNIT TO 16 17 DEVELOP AN IFB FOR SCRAP METAL COLLECTION AT STATE FACILITIES, AND AGAIN REVENUES GENERATED BY THAT 18 19 CONTRACT WOULD BE DEPOSITED IN THE PROJECT RECYCLE 20 FUND. UPDATE ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD 21 22 HAZARDOUS WASTE. DURING THE MONTH OF JULY, THERE WERE 17 CENTERS WERE CERTIFIED AND 29 CENTERS WERE 23 24 RECERTIFIED. STAFF -- CERTIFICATION STAFF STAFFED A BOOTH IN THE SOUTH LAKE TAHOE FOR THE WOODEN 25

BOAT SHOW AT THE TAHOE KEYS MARINA. THE EVENT WAS 1 2 QUITE WELL ATTENDED, AND SEVERAL HUNDRED PEOPLE WERE PROVIDED INFORMATION ABOUT THE STATE USED OIL 3 PROGRAM AND EL DORADO COUNTY'S PROGRAM. THE TAHOE 4 5 MARINA HAS TWO SITES FOR OIL COLLECTION, ONE NEAR THE BOAT DOCKS AND ANOTHER IN THE PARKING LOT NEAR б 7 THE ENTRANCE OF THE MARINA FOR CONVENIENCE OF THE PUBLIC. IN ADDITION TO THE MARINA, COUNTY 8 9 COUNSEL -- COUNTY PERSONNEL -- EXCUSE ME -- WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE CERTIFICATION STAFF WITH MORE --10 TWO MORE APPLICATIONS FOR TWO NEW CERTIFIED 11 12 CENTERS IN SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, BRINGING THE TOTAL CENTERS TO SIX IN THAT AREA. 13 ON JULY 23RD, THE BOARD AWARDED A \$1 14 MILLION, 30-MONTH CONTRACT TO THE CALIFORNIA 15 CONSERVATION CORPS TO CONDUCT USED OIL EDUCATION 16 17 ACTIVITIES AND GRANTEE ASSISTANCE STATEWIDE. ADDING THE ANTICIPATED CARRY-OVER OF 314,000 FROM 18 19 THE EXISTING CONTRACT, TOTAL MONIES AVAILABLE FOR 20 USED OIL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES WILL BE 1.3 MILLION. 21 THE CURRENT CONTRACT WILL EXPIRE ON SEPTEMBER 30, 22 1997. 23 AND ALSO OF INTEREST TO LOCAL 24 GOVERNMENT, ON AUGUST 5TH THE POLICY COMMITTEE

APPROVED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CRITERIA

25

- 1 FOR THE '97-'98 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT.
- 2 THE ITEM WAS ON THE -- IS ON THE BOARD'S CONSENT
- 3 AGENDA FOR THE AUGUST 27TH MEETING, AND 1.5
- 4 MILLION IS AVAILABLE FOR HHW GRANTS THIS YEAR, AND
- 5 THE PROGRAM WILL FOCUS ON PERMANENT SOLUTIONS FOR
- 6 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION.
- 7 AND STAFF HAVE COMPLETED MAJOR
- 8 REVISIONS TO THE USED OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM
- 9 REGULATIONS. THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN
- 10 PLACED ON THE INTERNET AND ARE CURRENTLY
- 11 UNDERGOING A 45-DAY INFORMAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
- 12 THAT ENDS SEPTEMBER 26TH. AND STAFF WILL
- 13 INCORPORATE COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE PUBLIC INTO
- 14 PROPOSED REGULATIONS PRIOR TO THE FORMAL
- 15 REGULATORY REVIEW PROCESS, WHICH WE'RE PROJECTING
- 16 FOR NOVEMBER. AND THIS HAS BEEN A PART OF A YEAR
- 17 OR TWO LONG PERIOD OF LOOKING AT STREAMLINING THE
- 18 USED OIL REGULATIONS.
- 19 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS
- 21 FOR JUDY?
- 22 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANKS.
- 24 THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS

ORAL

25 REPORT FOR THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET

1	DEVELOPMENT DIVISION FROM CAREN TRGOVCICH.
2	MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
3	CHESBRO AND MEMBERS. I HAVE A BRIEF REPORT
4	HIGHLIGHTING SOME EFFORTS OF THE STAFF OVER THE
5	PAST MONTH FOR YOU THIS MORNING. THE FIRST I'D
6	LIKE TO DO IS UPDATE YOU ON THE STATUS OF THE
7	CALCULATION OF THE 1996 RATE FOR THE RIGID PLASTIC
8	PACKAGING CONTAINERS.
9	AS YOU ARE AWARE, THE BOARD AT ITS
10	APRIL MEETING APPROVED A METHODOLOGY FOR BOTH THE
11	NUMERATOR CALCULATION AND THE DENOMINATOR
12	CALCULATION. STAFF HAVE BEEN PROCEEDING WITH THE
13	DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION TO FINALIZE AN
14	AGREEMENT AND DEVELOP AND COMPLETE A SURVEY
15	MECHANISM THAT WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE
16	NUMERATOR.
17	WHILE THE AGREEMENT IS MOVING
18	THROUGH THE FINALIZATION PROCESS, STAFF OF BOTH
19	THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AS WELL AS THE
20	BOARD HAVE BEEN WORKING IN THE MEANTIME TO
21	COMPLETE THE SURVEY, KNOWING THAT THIS AGREEMENT
22	WILL BE IMMINENTLY SIGNED.
23	THE STAFF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
24 25	CONSERVATION HAVE BEEN MODIFYING IN ORDER TO STREAMLINE THE SURVEY MECHANISM USED FOR THE 1995

SURVEY THAT WAS COMPLETED. THIS SURVEY MECHANISM
WAS THE SAME MECHANISM THAT WAS USED BY CASCADIA
CONSULTING GROUP IN THE CALCULATION OF THE
NUMERATOR FOR THE 1995 RATE.
IF YOU WILL REMEMBER THOSE
DISCUSSIONS AROUND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE 1995
RATE, THE NUMERATOR CALCULATION RECEIVED
WIDESPREAD SUPPORT FROM BOTH INTERESTED PARTIES,
AS WELL AS THE BOARD AS AN APPROACH IN TERMS OF
CALCULATION. SO WE ARE PROCEEDING ALONG THOSE
LINES.
ONCE WE HAVE AN AGREEMENT IN PLACE
WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION, WE WILL BE
FORWARDING THAT DRAFT REVISED SURVEY MECHANISM,
ONCE AGAIN REVISED PRINCIPALLY FOR STREAMLINING
PURPOSES, TO THE INTERESTED PARTIES GROUP FOR
THEIR COMMENT. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT ANY
CHANGES IN THE PROCESS ARE AFFORDED AN
UNITY
FOR REVIEW BY ANYONE INTERESTED IN THE PROCESS
ITSELF.
ONCE WE GET COMMENTS BACK, AND

WILL BE ASKING FOR COMMENTS ON A VERY SHORT

22

23	TURNAROUND,	DOC WILL THEN PROCEED TO SURVEY
24	PROCESSORS.	AND WE WILL BE THEN BRINGING BACK
THE 25 THE	CALCULATION,	WHICH WILL CONTAIN THE RESULTS OF

METHODOLOGY FOR BOTH THE NUMERATOR AND THE

1

21

22

INDUSTRY.

- 2 DENOMINATOR, AND WE ARE TARGETING THE OCTOBER 3 COMMITTEE MEETING TO BRING BACK THAT PROPOSED 4 CALCULATION. 5 SO KNOWING THAT THIS IS A PRETTY б COMPLICATED PROCESS, I WANTED TO MAKE SURE TO 7 BRING THIS FORWARD AND UPDATE YOU, AND I CAN TRY 8 TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE ON THAT 9 ITEM AS WELL. IF NOT, I'LL MOVE ON TO MY NEXT 10 COUPLE OF ITEMS. 11 WE RECEIVED YET ANOTHER AWARD AROUND 12 OUR EDUCATION EFFORTS IN THE AREA OF LANDSCAPE. BOARD MEMBER JONES ACCEPTED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD 13 THE 1997 AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN PUBLIC INFORMA-14 TION FROM THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA TURF AND 15 16 LANDSCAPE COUNCIL AT THE COUNCIL'S ANNUAL AWARDS BANQUET IN OAKLAND. I BELIEVE THIS WAS JUST A 17 COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO. THE BOARD WAS HONORED FOR 18 19 ITS WORK IN RAISING AWARENESS AROUND GRASSCYCLING 20 AND YARD WASTE PREVENTION AMONG THE GREEN
- 23 EFFORTS WITH THE INDUSTRY ARE NOW BEARING FRUIT,
  24 WHICH IS DEMONSTRATED BY THIS AWARD, AND, MORE
  25 IMPORTANTLY, BY MEMBERS OF THE LANDSCAPE INDUSTRY

IT SHOULD BE NOTICED THAT OUR

PRACTICING YARD WASTE PREVENTION THROUGH 1 2 GRASSCYCLING. SO WE ARE SEEING SOME BENEFITS THAT REALLY COME OUT OF OUR SPRING CAMPAIGN THAT WE 3 REALLY PUSHED. THERE ARE SEVERAL MEMBERS SITTING 4 ON THE COMMITTEE HERE TODAY THAT DID SPOTS IN THE 5 6 SPRING CAMPAIGN, AND WE ARE CURRENTLY IN NEGOTIA-7 TIONS WITH MULTIPLE ENTITIES DOWN IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA TO BRING ABOUT ADDITIONAL PARTNER-8 SHIPS THAT WILL FURTHER THE GRASSCYCLING EFFORT. 9 AND WE LOOK AT THIS AS A LARGE 10 TONNAGE DIVERSION OPPORTUNITY. SO WE'RE REALLY 11 12 SINKING OUR RESOURCES AND FOCUSING A LOT OF EFFORT ON WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITIES THAT WANT TO COME 13 FORWARD AND BUILD PARTNERSHIPS WITH US, WITH THE 14 AIR DISTRICTS, IF THAT IS ONE OF THE MEDIAS THAT 15 THEY ALSO WANT TO AFFECT WITH THIS TYPE OF A 16 17 PROGRAM, AND WITH THE MOWER MANUFACTURERS THEMSELVES. WE HAVE A LOT OF INTEREST ON THE PART 18 19 OF THE MOWER MANUFACTURERS TO BUILD ADDITIONAL 20 PARTNERSHIPS AROUND THE STATE. AS YOU ARE AWARE, THE SACRAMENTO PARTNERSHIP WAS VERY SUCCESSFUL, 21 22 AND THEY WANT TO BUILD ON THOSE EFFORTS. AND WHAT I'D LIKE TO ALSO REPORT TO 23 24 YOU SOME EFFORTS THAT WE'RE UNDERTAKING IN THE WASTE REDUCTION AREA. THE STAFF OF THE BUSINESS 25

RESOURCE EFFICIENCY AND WASTE REDUCTION -- WE CALL 1 2 IT BREWR PROGRAM -- ARE WORKING WITH THE STAFF OF 3 THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE 4 DIVISION TO BRING ADDITIONAL DATA POSSIBILITIES 5 FOR USE BY OUR STAFF AS WELL AS LOCAL JURISDIC-6 TIONS. 7 WE'RE LOOKING AT COMBINING EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT BUSINESS DATA 8 9 EITHER IN LIEU OF OR TO AUGMENT OUR EXISTING DUN & 10 BRADSTREET INFORMATION. SO WE'RE GOING HIGH TECH 11 ON-LINE, AND WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO GET THE INFORMATION OUT AND MAKE BUSINESS INFORMATION MORE 12 13 AVAILABLE TO OUR STAFF AND MORE AVAILABLE TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS FOR THEIR USE AS WELL. 14 15 AND FINALLY, I'D JUST LIKE TO LET 16 YOU KNOW THAT WE WILL BE HOLDING ONE ADDITIONAL 17 WASTE REDUCTION WORKSHOP IN THE FRESNO AREA ON SEPTEMBER 9TH. WE HAVE A HOST OR SPONSOR, VENDO 18 CORPORATION, THAT HAS COME FORWARD TO SAY THEY 19 WOULD LIKE TO HOST A WORKSHOP FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS 20 OR AGENCY PARTICIPANTS DOWN IN THAT AREA, AND THAT 21 22 WILL BE HELD ON SEPTEMBER 9TH. 23 AND THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT. 24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU

25 VERY MUCH. ANY QUESTIONS?

- 1 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NO QUESTIONS. THANKS,
- 3 CAREN.
- 4 NEXT WE HAVE THE CONSENT AGENDA,
- 5 WHICH THERE ARE COPIES OF IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM
- 6 FOR ANYBODY WHO DOESN'T HAVE IT IN FRONT OF THEM
- 7 RIGHT NOW. AND THE ITEMS THAT ARE PROPOSED FOR
- 8 THE CONSENT AGENDA ARE ITEMS 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13,
- 9 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, AND 24. EVERYBODY GOT THAT?
- 10 YES.
- 11 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY, CHAIRMAN
- 12 CHESBRO, I WOULD LIKE TO ADD, IT LOOKS LIKE ITEM
- NO. 17, THE CONSIDERATION OF THE NDFE FOR THE CITY
- OF GREENFIELD WAS LEFT OFF. THE SRRE IS NOT ON
- 15 CONSENT, BUT THAT ONE SHOULD BE.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ITEM 17, THE NDFE IS
- 17 ALSO ON CONSENT. ANY OTHERS? ANY QUESTIONS? ANY
- 18 REQUESTS TO PULL ANY OF THOSE ITEMS OFF THE
- 19 CONSENT? IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO
- 20 APPROVE THESE ITEMS AND FORWARD THEM TO THE
- BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.
- 22 MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE APPROVAL OF THE
- 23 CONSENT AGENDA.
- 24 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND

```
SECONDED. CAN WE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
 1
 2
               THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.
 3
               MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
 4
               THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
 5
               MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
 6
               THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.
 7
               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AYE. MOTION CARRIES.
                    SO THE FIRST NONCONSENT ITEM IS ITEM
 8
      5, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF
 9
      RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PETITION TO
10
      REDUCE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING
11
12
      ELEMENT AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR ALPINE COUNTY.
13
                    I SHOULD MENTION THAT THERE ARE
      SPEAKER SLIPS IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM. I'M NOT
14
      AWARE IF ANYBODY IS HERE FROM ALPINE COUNTY OR
15
      NOT; BUT IF YOU ARE HERE TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE,
16
      IT'S HELPFUL TO US TO FILL ONE OF THESE OUT AND
17
      BRING IT FORWARD TO THE COMMITTEE'S ASSISTANT.
18
19
               MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. BEFORE I INTRODUCE
20
      BILL HUSTON, WHO WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR
      STAFF, AS YOU KNOW, THIS COUNTY HAS BEEN THE
21
22
      SUBJECT OF A BILL IN THE LEGISLATURE THAT YOU HAVE
      UPDATES ABOUT IN BOTH THIS COMMITTEE AND AT THE
23
      LPEC COMMITTEE. AND STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING VERY
24
      HARD, PRINCIPALLY CATHY DONAHUE, TO HELP THIS
25
```

JURISDICTION. CATHY IS OUT TODAY, BUT BILL 1 2 HUSTON, HER SUPERVISOR, WILL PRESENT THIS ITEM FOR 3 STAFF. MR. HUSTON: THANK YOU, JUDY. AND GOOD 4 MORNING, MEMBERS. PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE AND THE 5 6 BOARD'S REGULATIONS REQUIRE EACH COUNTY TO SUBMIT 7 TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL A SITING ELEMENT, A COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, AND A SUMMARY PLAN AS 8 PART OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT 9 PLAN. THE PROVISIONS OF STATUTE AND REGULATION 10 11 ALSO ALLOW A RURAL COUNTY TO PETITION THE BOARD FOR A REDUCTION IN THE PROVISIONS OF THESE 12 13 PLANNING REQUIREMENTS. MOTION -- OR THE AGENDA ITEM BEFORE 14 YOU TODAY IS A PETITION BY ALPINE COUNTY TO REDUCE 15 16 ITS PLANNING REQUIREMENTS FOR BOTH ITS SUMMARY 17 PLAN AND FOR ITS SITING ELEMENT. THE FIRST ONE, THE REDUCTION IN REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SITING 18 19 ELEMENT, IS PRESENTED BECAUSE THE CITY -- EXCUSE 20 ME -- THE COUNTY HAS NO PERMITTED LANDFILLS AT THIS POINT, IT DOES NOT EXPECT TO HAVE ANY 21 LANDFILLS IN THE NEAR FUTURE, AND CURRENTLY IS 22 EXPORTING ALL OF ITS WASTE TO NEIGHBORING 23

COUNTIES

24	OR	TO	LOCKWOOD	IN	NEVADA.			
25			7	ГНЕ	LANDFILL	REQUIREMENTS	FOR	THE

- 1 COUNTY IS LESS THAN 15 TONS PER DAY OF THE 2 POPULATION OF LESS THAN 12,000.
- 3 THE SECOND PETITION OR THE SECOND
- 4 PART OF THE PETITION IS TO ENTIRELY RELAX THE
- 5 REQUIREMENTS FOR A SUMMARY PLAN. THE COUNTY HAS
- 6 NO INCORPORATED CITIES. THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY HAS
- 7 APPROVED THE OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE COUNTY'S
- 8 PLANNING REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING THE SRRE, THE
- 9 NDFE, AND THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT.
- 10 THERE SIMPLY ARE NO PROGRAMS TO BE SUMMARIZED IN A
- 11 SUMMARY PLAN SINCE, AGAIN, THE COUNTY HAS NO
- 12 INCORPORATED CITIES.
- 13 THE RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF BEFORE
- 14 YOU TODAY IS TO APPROVE ALPINE COUNTY'S PETITION
- 15 TO RELIEVE THEM ENTIRELY FROM SUBMITTAL OF A
- 16 SUMMARY PLAN AND TO REDUCE THEIR SITING ELEMENT TO
- 17 ONLY A DESCRIPTION OF THE STRATEGY THAT THEY WILL
- 18 USE TO DISPOSE OF THEIR WASTE.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. QUESTIONS FROM
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBERS? COMMENTS? SEEMS IMMINENTLY
- 21 REASONABLE, AS HAS BEEN THE APPROACH GENERALLY
- 22 WHEN WE'VE HAD SPECIFIC PROBLEMS. OF COURSE, WE
- 23 HAD THE SAME DISCUSSION WITH THE SAME COMMITTEE
- 24 MEMBERS YESTERDAY. I GUESS I COULD PLAY THE

TAPE.

BUT BASICALLY STAFF'S TO BE COMPLIMENTED, AND WE

- 1 WILL PROCEED WITH THIS AND HOPE THAT THE
- 2 ACCOMPANYING LEGISLATION WILL GO AWAY.
- 3 SO I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO
- 4 APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND FORWARD IT TO
- 5 THE BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.
- 6 MEMBER FRAZEE: MOVE ADOPTION OF
- 7 RESOLUTION 97-368.
- 8 MEMBER GOTCH: AND I'LL SECOND.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 10 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
- 11 THE MOTION CARRIES THREE TO ZERO. THANK YOU,
- 12 BILL.
- 13 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 8,
- 14 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE DEL
- 15 NORTE REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT.
- 16 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. HEIDI SANBORN AND
- 17 CHRIS SCHMIDLE WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR
- 18 STAFF.
- MS. SANBORN: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN
- 20 AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: INCIDENTALLY, LET ME
- 22 WARN EVERYONE TO CALL IT DEL NORTE, NOT DEL NORTE.
- 23 WHEN WE HAD A BOARD MEETING IN EUREKA, YOU MAY
- 24 RECALL I HAD AN ADVISOR NAMED MARTHA VALDES, AND
- 25 EVERYBODY ON THE NORTH COAST CALLS IT DEL NORTE,

1

SEE. SO I'M SITTING IN THE MEETING AND I SAY DEL

- 2 NORTE, AND SHE MADE THE MOST AWFUL FACE AT ME BECAUSE SHE KNEW I KNEW BETTER, YOU KNOW, THAT IT 3 SHOULD BE DEL NORTE, BUT I EXPLAINED TO HER THAT 4 5 THE ONLY THING YOU PROVE BY SAYING DEL NORTE IS 6 THAT YOU ARE NOT FROM THE NORTH COAST. 7 MS. SANBORN: I'M NOT FROM THE NORTH 8 COAST, BUT I'LL TRY AND SAY DEL NORTE. 9 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU TODAY IS CONSIDERATION OF THE DEL NORTE COUNTY REGIONAL 10 AGENCY AGREEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED DEL NORTE 11 12 COUNTY AND CITY OF CRESCENT CITY. BEFORE 13 PRESENTING THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE DEL NORTE REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT, I'D LIKE TO TAKE 14 A MINUTE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME BACKGROUND 15 16 INFORMATION. 17 IN 1992 DEL NORTE COUNTY AND
- CRESCENT CITY FORMED A JPA CALLED THE DEL NORTE 18 19 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY. SINCE FORMATION 20 THE AUTHORITY HAS BEEN ACTING AS ONE ENTITY AND HAS SUBMITTED A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL SRRE, HHWE, 21 22 AND NDFE. THE INTENT HAS ALWAYS BEEN TO JOIN RESOURCES AND TO PERMIT THE AUTHORITY TO BE LEAD 23 24 AGENCY FOR ALL SOLID WASTE TASKS IN THE CITY AND 25 THE COUNTY.

1	DESPITE OTHER PRESSING SOLID WASTE
2	ISSUES, SUCH AS CLOSURE OF THE CRESCENT CITY
3	LANDFILL, AUTHORITY STAFF, USING REGIONAL AGENCY
4	EXAMPLES FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE
5	LIBRARY, COMPLETED AN AMENDMENT TO THE EXISTING
6	JPA TO MEET THE REGIONAL AGENCY REQUIREMENTS.
7	CRESCENT CITY AND THE COUNTY
8	SUPERVISORS APPROVED THE JPA AMENDMENT IN JUNE AND
9	JULY RESPECTIVELY. AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, DEL
10	NORTE COUNTY MAY SUBMIT ANNUAL REPORTS, DISPOSAL
11	REPORTS, AND OTHER REPORTING DATA AS ONE ENTITY
12	INSTEAD OF SUBMITTING SEPARATE REPORTS FOR EACH
13	JURISDICTION.
14	THIS WILL FACILITATE ACCURATE
15	TRACKING AND TIMELY REPORTING OF QUARTERLY
16	DISPOSAL TONNAGE. A REGIONAL AGENCY WILL SAVE THE
17	COUNTY TIME AND MONEY IN BOTH GATHERING INFORMA-
18	TION AND PREPARING REPORTS, ALLOWING THE COUNTY TO
19	CONCENTRATE THE EFFORTS ON EFFECTIVELY AND
20	EFFICIENTLY IMPLEMENTING THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
21	THE MAIN ISSUE IN THE FORMATION OF
22	THE REGIONAL AGENCY IS HOW THE NEW REGIONAL
23	DIVERSION PROJECTIONS MEET THE CONDITION OF THE
24 25	CRESCENT CITY SRRE CONDITIONAL APPROVAL. IN 1994 THE BOARD TOOK ACTION ON THE SRRE'S. THE COUNTY

SRRE WAS APPROVED, BUT THE CITY OF CRESCENT CITY'S 1 2 SRRE WAS CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOLELY BASED ON THE DIVERSION PROJECTIONS BEING 22.4 PERCENT FOR 3 1995 AND 45.2 PERCENT BY 2000. 4 BY FORMING A REGION, THE DIVERSION 5 PROJECTIONS ARE NOW 46.1 PERCENT FOR 1995 AND 51 б 7 PERCENT FOR 2000. THEREFORE, BY BEING A MEMBER OF 8 A REGION WHICH HAS APPROVABLE DIVERSION PROJEC-TIONS, THE CITY OF CRESCENT CITY HAS MET THE 9 REQUIREMENT OF THE PREVIOUS CONDITIONAL APPROVAL. 10 11 AS THERE ARE NO OTHER OUTSTANDING 12 ISSUES, STAFF FINDS THAT THE DEL NORTE JPA MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE DEEMED A REGIONAL AGENCY 13 AND RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE DEL 14 NORTE SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY AGREEMENT AS A 15 16 REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT. 17 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. AND CHRIS SCHMIDLE 18 19 IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE ON 20 THE NUMBERS. AND WE HAVE KEVIN HENDRICK, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE AUTHORITY, IN THE 21 22 AUDIENCE, WHO IS ALSO AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY 23 QUESTIONS. 24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: KEVIN DID FILL OUT A

SPEAKER REQUEST. WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADDRESS THE

25

1

COMMITTEE?

```
2
               MR. HENDRICK: NO VIDEOS TODAY. MY NAME
      IS KEVIN HENDRICK. I'M THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEL
 3
      NORTE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY. AS HEIDI
 4
      POINTED OUT, THE CITY OF CRESCENT CITY AND DEL
 5
      NORTE COUNTY FORMED A JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY IN
 б
 7
      1992, SO I WAS HIRED IN 1993. WE'VE ALWAYS ACTED
 8
      AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, SO IT'S GOOD TO BE
      RECOGNIZED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY FINALLY. I WAS
 9
      ACTUALLY SURPRISED TO FIND OUT THAT WE WEREN'T
10
      RECOGNIZED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY DUE TO THE FACT
11
      THAT WE HAVE ALWAYS ACTED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY.
12
      WE HAVE A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL SRRE, ETC., ETC.
13
                    BUT NEVERTHELESS, LAWS WERE PASSED
14
      AFTER THE JPA WAS FORMED, AND WE ALWAYS TRY TO
15
      COMPLY WITH THE LAW, ALTHOUGH HEIDI WILL ATTEST I
16
      BALKED ON THIS ONE. POLITICALLY IT WAS A RISK.
17
      IT WAS DIFFICULT BECAUSE IT'S A CONTROVERSIAL
18
19
      SUBJECT. AND HAVING GONE THROUGH THIS PROCESS TO
20
      ANSWER THE WHAT-IF, WHAT IF THE SOLID WASTE
      AUTHORITY DOESN'T DO WHAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO DO
21
22
      AND THERE'RE FINES ON THE TABLE AND IT GETS
      DISSOLVED, WHO'S GOING TO PAY THE FINES? WHICH,
23
      HAVING GONE THROUGH THE PROCESS NOW, I EQUATE TO
24
```

A 25 POSTNUPTIAL AGREEMENT. LIKE GOING TO MY WIFE AND

- 1 SAYING, "WE'VE BEEN TOGETHER FIVE YEARS. THINGS
- 2 ARE GOING REALLY GOOD, BUT WHAT -- LET'S COME UP
- 3 WITH A PLAN JUST IN CASE WE GET DIVORCED, YOU
- 4 KNOW. WHO GETS THE KIDS? WHO GETS THE HOUSE?"
- 5 IT WAS LIKE THAT.
- 6 SO IT TOOK A LITTLE WHILE. BUT THE
- 7 FACT IS THAT WE ARE COMPLYING WITH THE LAW. WE
- 8 ARE COMMITTED TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW. WE'VE
- 9 EXCEEDED OUR 25 PERCENT BY 1995. OUR REPORTS ARE
- 10 SUBMITTED, OUR PLANS ARE IN OPERATION, AND WE PLAN
- 11 TO EXCEED THE 50-PERCENT GOAL.
- 12 AND NOW THAT WE'RE AT THIS POINT,
- 13 BEING RECOGNIZED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, I WANTED TO
- 14 MAKE A PITCH FOR BEING RECOGNIZED AS A RURAL
- 15 REGIONAL AGENCY. ONCE AGAIN, SURPRISED TO FIND
- 16 OUT THAT DEL NORTE COUNTY SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY IS
- 17 NOT -- DOESN'T FIT THE CATEGORY OF A RURAL
- 18 REGIONAL AGENCY, SO I HAVE A STRATEGY HERE. OKAY.
- 19 PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE DON'T MAKE ME DO THIS
- 20 AGAIN.
- 21 (SPEAKER PUTS ON STRAW HAT.)
- AS I POINTED OUT, WE ARE GOING TO
- 23 MEET THE GOALS, BUT WE DO HAVE AN INTEREST IN
- 24 BEING RECOGNIZED FOR ONE REASON. AND THAT IS THE
- 25 REDUCTION IN SOME OF THE PLANNING REQUIREMENTS.

1

AS JUST APPROVED FOR ALPINE COUNTY, THERE COULD BE

2 A BENEFIT FOR US. WE DO HAVE AN INTEREST IN THE 3 POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF PLANNING REDUCTION, NOT REDUCTION OF DIVERSION GOALS, BUT IN THE TIME IT 4 5 TAKES TO PUT TOGETHER THE SITING ELEMENT AND THE б SUMMARY ELEMENT. 7 WE WOULD CONSIDER THIS LIKE A TIME 8 GRANT, SOMETHING THAT WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH OF THAT YOU COULD GIVE US. AND THAT'S PRIMARILY THE 9 REASON. SO I'M ENCOURAGED TO KNOW THAT THERE ARE 10 PEOPLE THAT RECOGNIZE THAT WE SHOULD BE A RURAL 11 12 REGIONAL AGENCY, WE SHOULD BE RECOGNIZED AS A RURAL REGIONAL AGENCY, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO 13 WORKING WITH THE STAFF. 14 I WANT TO END BY SAYING THAT THE 15 16 STAFF HAVE BEEN GREAT TO WORK WITH. THEY CONTINUE 17 TO BE SUPPORTIVE AND HELPFUL AND PATIENT AND NUDGING, THE TYPE OF THING LIKE, WELL, WOULD IT 18 19 HELP YOU TO MOVE THIS JPA IF WE SEND YOU THIS 20 ENFORCEMENT LETTER NOW, OR WOULD YOU LIKE US TO WAIT AND GIVE YOU ANOTHER WEEK OR TWO? THAT'S 21 22 SORT OF HOW IT WAS, HAVING THE INTERPLAY IN THE COMMUNICATION. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION. 23 24 I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE. CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS? 25

- ACTUALLY WOULD LIKE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE 1 OTHER ISSUE, BUT I PROBABLY SHOULD TAKE THE ONE 2 ITEM, AND WE COULD ASK STAFF SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT 3 THE ISSUE OF THE REDUCTION IN REQUIREMENTS. BUT 4 5 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE REGIONAL AGENCY 6 APPROVAL? 7 MEMBER GOTCH: NO. 8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'D JUST LIKE TO COMPLIMENT YOU, KEVIN. I KNOW IT'S BEEN A 9 DELICATE PROCESS UP THERE OF GETTING THE PARTIES 10 11 TO WORK TOGETHER AND NOT DESTABILIZE A RELATIVELY 12 STABLE SITUATION. SO --13 MR. HENDRICK: THANK YOU. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE CITY WANTED, BECAUSE ONCE WE START 14 TALKING ABOUT SPLITTING, THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE 15 THEY HAD THEIR INFORMATION SOLID AND SEVERABLE. 16 17 SO WE'VE -- WE'RE UPDATING OUR WASTE CHARACTERI-ZATION AS WE SPEAK. I HAVE CORPS MEMBERS SORTING 18 19 THROUGH OUR GARBAGE TO UPDATE OUR INFORMATION AND 20 PROVIDE INFORMATION THAT IS SEVERABLE BY CITY AND COUNTY, BY GENERATION, INCLUDING SEPARATE STATE 21 AGENCIES, SUCH AS THE PRISON, BECAUSE THIS IS 22 MUCH
- MORE COMPREHENSIVE THAN OUR ORIGINAL STUDY,

## WHICH

24 WAS DONE BY AN OUTSIDE CONSULTANT. WE WILL

REALLY

25 RELY ON THIS INFORMATION FOR FUTURE PLANNING, NOT

ONLY FOR OUR DIVERSION, BUT FOR PLANNING OF OUR 1 2 DISPOSAL AND DIVERSION FACILITIES AND FOR FUTURE PLANNING FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND JOBS 3 4 CREATION. AND THAT'S -- WE ARE BUSY. CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I THINK IT'S REALLY 5 NOTABLE FOR ONE OF THE SMALLEST COUNTIES THAT'S 6 7 PROBABLY PHYSICALLY AS ISOLATED AS JUST ABOUT ANYWHERE IN THE STATE IN TERMS OF DISTANCE TO 8 9 MARKETS, DISTANCE TO SACRAMENTO JUST TO GET DOWN HERE, AS YOU ARE TODAY. THE PROGRESSIVE APPROACH 10 AND CONSTRUCTIVE EFFORT TO MEET ALL THESE 11 CHALLENGES, I THINK IT'S REALLY REMARKABLE. 12 13 MR. HENDRICK: I SAID AT A PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING THAT THEY USED TO SAY THERE'S NO LAW NORTH 14 IF THE KLAMATH. NOW WE SAY THERE'S NO MARKETS 15 NORTH OF THE KLAMATH. SO FOR US IT'S A VERY 16 PRACTICAL APPROACH TO DEVELOP LOCAL MARKETS AND 17 LOCAL BUSINESSES THAT CAN TAKE THESE PRODUCTS 18 19 BECAUSE IT'S A LONG DISTANCE TO SHIP IT AND WE NEED THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. SO PROGRESSIVE AS 20 IT MIGHT BE, CONSERVATIVE PEOPLE RECOGNIZE THIS AS 21 BEING VALUABLE, SO I HOPE WE'LL BE SUCCESSFUL. 22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WELL, I WILL 23

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE DEL NORTE

24

25 REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT AND FORWARD IT TO THE

- 1 BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.
- 2 MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE RESOLUTION 97-370.
- 3 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 5 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
- 6 MOTION CARRIES.
- 7 I WANTED TO ASK A FEW QUESTIONS OF
- 8 STAFF ABOUT THE ABILITY OF THE COUNTY TO QUALIFY.
- 9 YOU KNOW, WHEN THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF RURAL
- 10 REDUCTIONS WAS PASSED, I THOUGHT, WOW, 200,000
- 11 POPULATION IS BEING DEFINED AS RURAL, AND I NEVER
- 12 IMAGINED -- I MEAN I WAS THINKING OF DOES THAT
- 13 MEAN THAT WE'RE GOING TO WIND UP WITH PLACER
- 14 COUNTY COMING IN HERE AND SAYING THAT THEY'RE
- 15 RURAL? I NEVER IMAGINED THAT A COUNTY LIKE DEL
- 16 NORTE WOULD HAVE ANY PROBLEM QUALIFYING. CAN WE
- 17 TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT THE PROBLEM IS WITH
- 18 THEIR ABILITY TO GET QUALIFIED?
- MS. SANBORN: IT WAS A SURPRISE TO US
- 20 TOO. THE PROBLEM IS THAT THEY MEET THE STATUTORY
- 21 DEFINITION, BUT THEY DON'T MEET THE REGULATORY
- 22 DEFINITION. CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, ELLIOT, BUT
- 23 THE STATUTE IS THE 200,000 OR LESS AND IS IN A
- 24 RURAL AREA, WHICH THEY DO MEET. THE PROBLEM IS IN
- 25 THE REGULATION WHERE IT TALKS ABOUT HAVING A

- 1 GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF 10,000 SQUARE MILES OR A
- 2 POPULATION DENSITY OF LESS THAN 70 PEOPLE PER
- 3 SOUARE MILE AND A WASTE GENERATION RATE OF 60 TONS
- 4 PER DAY OR LESS. AND CHRIS CAN TALK ABOUT THE
- 5 GENERATION RATE BECAUSE THAT'S THE PROBLEM.
- 6 MR. SCHMIDLE: I THINK IT CAME IN AT 78
- 7 TONS PER DAY. SO REGULATIONS SAY 60. THEY'VE GOT
- 8 78. TECHNICALLY, I'VE GOT TO SAY NO.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S GENERATION.
- 10 THAT'S NOT DISPOSAL.
- MR. SCHMIDLE: YES, GENERATION RATE. SO
- 12 REGARDLESS OF HOW GOOD THEY'RE DOING WITH
- 13 DIVERSION, THEY STILL GET PENALIZED. THEY'RE
- 14 STUCK.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S NOT A NUMBER
- 16 THAT WAS IN THE STATUTE.
- MS. SANBORN: RIGHT.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT WAS A NUMBER THAT
- 19 WAS IN OUR REGULATIONS. WELL, I WOULD LIKE US TO
- 20 EXAMINE THIS SOMEHOW, EITHER IN TERMS OF OUR
- 21 REGULATORY PROCESS OR THE STATUTE. I DON'T KNOW
- 22 WHAT WE COULD DO.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY, CHAIRMAN
- 24 CHESBRO, I DO WANT TO CLARIFY THAT STATUTE ALSO
- 25 HAS A LIMITATION ON THIS BECAUSE IT SAYS

GENERATION BASED IN STATUTE AS WELL. SO IT'S NOT 1 2 JUST OUR REGULATIONS. IT'S ALSO THE STATUTORY PROVISION THAT DESCRIBES THIS AS A BASIS OF 3 GENERATION AS OPPOSED TO DISPOSAL. SO WE DO HAVE 4 5 A PROBLEM WITH THE STATUTE AS WELL. 6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, LIKE I SAID, 7 EVERYBODY SITTING IN THE ROOM THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN OR AT THE TABLE, AS DENISE DELMATIER 8 ALWAYS LIKES TO SAY, DISCUSSING THE ISSUE OF WHAT 9 IT SHOULD BE, WHAT THE REQUIREMENT SHOULD BE, I 10 DON'T THINK ANYBODY WOULD HAVE IMAGINED THAT DEL 11 12 NORTE COUNTY WOULD NOT BE A QUALIFIED JURISDIC-TION. IT'S ESPECIALLY IRONIC GIVEN THE FACT THAT 13 THEY DON'T APPEAR TO BE REALLY VERY INTERESTED IN 14 NUMERIC REDUCTIONS. THEY'RE INTERESTED IN SIMPLY 15 THE STREAMLINING OF THE PROCESS, WHICH ALSO THIS 16 17 BOARD MADE A PRIORITY OF STREAMLINING FOR THE RURAL AREAS. 18 19 SO I GUESS I WOULD ASK STAFF AND 20 MAYBE THE ADVISORS TO THIS COMMITTEE TO GET THEIR HEADS TOGETHER AND TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN 21 22 ADDRESS DEL NORTE'S PROBLEM. I DON'T WANT TO TRY

TO SOLVE IT HERE TODAY, BUT IT WOULD BE, I THINK,

A GOOD IDEA FOR US TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE NOT PENALIZING DEL NORTE

23

24

25

- 1 COUNTY.
- 2 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY RESPOND TO THAT
- 3 AS WELL, YES, ALSO STAFF HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT
- 4 DIFFERENT STATUTORY LANGUAGE OPTIONS THAT, IF THE
- 5 BOARD SO DESIRED, WE COULD PURSUE IN TERMS OF
- 6 FIXING THIS ISSUE. SO...
- 7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHAT'S THE SENSE OF
- 8 THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS? I KNOW WE DON'T --
- 9 YOU KNOW, IT'S KIND OF VERY LATE IN THE LEGIS-
- 10 LATIVE PROCESS FOR US TO BE ABLE TO GENERATE A
- 11 LEGISLATIVE CONCEPT; BUT IF THERE WAS INTEREST IN
- 12 THE LEGISLATURE OF A SIMPLE FIX THAT WOULD RESOLVE
- 13 THE PROBLEM. IT'S NOT ON THE AGENDA FOR US TO
- 14 VOTE ON, BUT JUST A GENERAL SENSE OF THE
- 15 COMMITTEE. IS THERE AN INTEREST IN THAT?
- 16 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'M JUST WONDERING HOW
- 17 MANY OF OUR JURISDICTIONS MAY BE AFFECTED OR FALL
- 18 IN THIS GENERAL AREA. ONCE YOU START MOVING THE
- 19 TARGET UP, THEN YOU RUN INTO OTHER ONES THAT ARE
- 20 JUST A LITTLE --
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S ALWAYS A PROBLEM
- OF WHERE DO YOU DRAW THE LINE.
- MS. SANBORN: WE DO HAVE MORE THAT ARE
- 24 COMING UP, LIKE THE CITY OF CORNING IN TEHAMA
- 25 COUNTY IS NOT QUALIFYING. THEY'RE 50 PEOPLE OVER

- 1 THE POPULATION DENSITY REQUIREMENT.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT IS POSSIBLE, IT
- 3 SEEMS -- I'M INTERRUPTING YOU. I'M SORRY.
- 4 MS. SANBORN: THAT'S OKAY. SUSANVILLE IS
- 5 THE SAME THING TODAY.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I WAS GOING TO SAY
- 7 IT'S POSSIBLE THAT ADDRESSING THE QUESTION OF
- 8 WHETHER IT SHOULD BE GENERATION OR DISPOSAL THAT
- 9 IS THE MEASUREMENT. WE AT LEAST MIGHT AVOID THE
- 10 IDEA THAT WE'RE PENALIZING SOMEBODY FOR THEIR
- 11 DIVERSION RATE, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SORT OF
- 12 THINKING OUT LOUD HERE, BUT THAT MIGHT ALLOW US TO
- 13 GET AWAY FROM THE QUESTION OF, A LITTLE BIT
- 14 ANYWAY, OF JUST WHERE THE LINE IS DRAWN. ALTHOUGH
- 15 INEVITABLY, LIKE YOU SAY, WHEREVER THE LINE IS
- 16 DRAWN, SOMEBODY IS GOING TO BE ON THE RIGHT SIDE
- 17 OF IT AND SOMEBODY IS GOING TO ON THE WRONG SIDE
- 18 OF IT.
- 19 I KNOW STATE AGENCIES THAT DEAL WITH
- 20 RURAL COUNTIES HAVE STRUGGLED WITH THIS PROBLEM
- 21 FOR -- IT'S NOT JUST THIS BOARD. EVERY AGENCY
- 22 THAT DEALS WITH RURAL COUNTIES HAS TRIED TO FIGURE
- OUT HOW DO YOU DEFINE A RURAL COUNTY. IT'S ALWAYS
- BEEN A PROBLEM.
- MR. SCHMIDLE: WE HAVEN'T DONE A STRICT

- 1 ANALYSIS, BUT THERE SEEMS TO BE A NUMBER OF THEM
- 2 THAT ARE SORT OF CLUSTERED AT THE BOTTOM, SO I
- 3 DON'T THINK WE'D HAVE TO CHANGE IT VERY MUCH. AND
- 4 I DON'T THINK THERE'D TOO MANY PEOPLE WHO WOULD BE
- 5 GETTING -- I DON'T THINK WE REALLY HAVE TO WORRY
- 6 ABOUT TOO MANY PEOPLE GETTING A FREE RIDE OR
- 7 ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT WOULD HELP. AS I
- 9 SAID, THE BOARD SET, I THINK, A PRETTY CLEAR
- 10 PRIORITY THAT ONE OF THE THINGS WE WANTED TO DO
- 11 WAS SIMPLIFY THESE PROCESSES FOR THE RURAL
- 12 COUNTIES. AND I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO SPEND A
- 13 LOT OF BOARD ENERGY TRYING TO FORCE DEL NORTE
- 14 COUNTY TO JUMP THROUGH HOOPS THAT REALLY AREN'T
- 15 PRODUCTIVE FOR THE AMOUNT OF WASTE THAT THEY HAVE
- 16 AND THE FACT THAT THEY'RE DOING SO CONSTRUCTIVELY
- 17 ON OTHER FRONTS, YOU KNOW.
- OKAY. WELL, THERE'S A SENSE OF THE
- 19 COMMITTEE. IT'S NOT A FORMAL DIRECTION TO STAFF,
- 20 BUT I THINK THAT SHOULD GIVE YOU SOME ABILITY TO
- 21 WORK WITHIN SOME CONSTRAINTS AS TO HOW WE DO WHAT
- WE CAN TO HELP.
- THANKS, KEVIN.
- THE NEXT ITEM IS SUSANVILLE IN
- 25 LASSEN COUNTY, ANOTHER RURAL AREA, ITEM 11, WHICH

- 1 IS THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
- 2 RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 3 ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE.
- 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. ITEMS 10 AND 11 ARE
- 5 VERY INTERRELATED AND THEY WILL BE PRESENTED
- 6 TOGETHER. BILL HUSTON WILL PRESENT THE ITEMS FOR
- 7 STAFF, STARTING WITH ITEM 11.
- 8 MR. HUSTON: GOOD MORNING AGAIN. THE
- 9 ITEMS BEFORE YOU TODAY ARE APPROVAL OR
- 10 CONSIDERATION OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
- 11 RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS
- 12 WASTE ELEMENT SUBMITTED BY THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE.
- AS PART OF THEIR SUBMITTAL, THE CITY ALSO
- 14 REQUESTED A PETITION ON THE PART OF THE BOARD,
- 15 PETITIONED THE BOARD FOR A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION
- 16 FOR MEETING THE 1995 25-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.
- 17 THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 18 ELEMENT MEETS ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE
- 19 BOARD, AND STAFF IS RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THAT
- 20 DOCUMENT FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE, JUST TO
- 21 BASICALLY GET THAT ONE OUT OF THE WAY.
- THE SRRE, THOUGH, OUTLINES A VARIETY
- OF PROGRAMS THAT THE CITY HAS ALREADY IMPLEMENTED
- OR INTENDS TO IMPLEMENT TO REDUCE, REUSE, AND
- 25 RECYCLE THEIR MATERIALS. AS I NOTED, THE CITY

- 1 REPORTED IN THEIR SRRE THAT THEIR 1995 DIVERSION
- 2 RATE WAS GOING TO BE ABOUT 15 PERCENT, THEIR 1996
- 3 DIVERSION RATE WOULD BE ABOUT 25 PERCENT, AND
- 4 THEIR YEAR 2000 DIVERSION IS PROJECTED TO BE 50
- 5 PERCENT.
- 6 THE CITY IS REQUESTING THE ONE-YEAR
- 7 TIME EXTENSION TO MEET THE '95 GOAL IN '96.
- 8 ALTHOUGH THE CITY IS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE A ONE-
- 9 YEAR TIME EXTENSION, BOARD POLICY EARLIER THIS
- 10 YEAR DID NOT ALLOW OR DOES NOT ALLOW THE BOARD TO
- 11 RETROACTIVELY GRANT A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION.
- 12 NOW, YOU MIGHT WONDER WHY SUSANVILLE IS REQUESTING
- 13 ONLY A ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.
- 14 THE REALITY IS IS THAT SUSANVILLE
- 15 DOES NOT MEET THE BOARD'S DEFINITION OF RURAL
- 16 BECAUSE IT EXCEEDS BOTH THE DENSITY -- THE
- 17 POPULATION DENSITY AND THE SQUARE MILE LIMITATION
- 18 TO BE CONSIDERED RURAL. SO IN LIGHT OF THAT, I
- 19 THINK THE BOARD HAS THREE VERY DISTINCT OPTIONS
- 20 FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY.
- 21 THE FIRST IS TO DISAPPROVE THE SRRE
- 22 BECAUSE IT DOES NOT MEET -- IT DOES NOT PROJECT A
- 23 25-PERCENT REDUCTION BY THE YEAR '95. ADMITTEDLY,
- 24 THERE IS LITTLE THE CITY CAN DO AT THIS POINT TO

25 REACH 25 PERCENT EITHER IN '95 OR IN '96. IT DOES

PROJECT A 25 PERCENT IN '96; BUT BECAUSE OF BOARD 1 2 POLICY, IT'S NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE THE ONE-YEAR EXTENSION. SO THE BOARD CAN DISAPPROVE THE SRRE, 3 4 BUT THERE IS LITTLE THAT THE CITY CAN DO TO BRING 5 IT INTO CONFORMANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS AND BOARD 6 POLICY. 7 THE SECOND OPTION IS TO APPROVE THE SRRE. THIS WOULD PERMIT THE BOARD TO APPROVE THE 8 9 SRRE, BUT IT WOULD ALSO REQUIRE THE BOARD TO GRANT AN EXCEPTION TO ITS EARLIER POLICY OF NOT GRANTING 10 A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION. IF THAT OPTION WERE 11 SELECTED, WE COULD THEN ALSO APPROVE THE PETITION 12 13 SUBMITTED BY THE CITY FOR THE ONE-YEAR EXTENSION. THE THIRD OPTION IS A CONDITIONAL 14 APPROVAL OF THE SRRE CONDITIONED UPON THE CITY 15 16 SUBMITTING ITS FIRST ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD FOR THE YEARS 1995 AND 1996 BY DECEMBER 31ST OF 17 THIS YEAR. BASICALLY WHAT THAT DOES IS PUTS THE 18 19 CITY ON A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE TO SAY WE RECOGNIZE THAT YOU DIDN'T MEET YOUR GOAL IN '95. WE 20 UNDERSTAND THAT WE THINK YOU ARE GOING TO REACH 21 22 THE GOAL IN '96, BUT WE REALLY WANT TO SEE WHAT PROGRAMS YOU'VE IMPLEMENTED, WHAT DIVERSION 23

YOU'RE

- 24 ACHIEVING, AND WE WANT TO SEE THAT VERY QUICKLY.
- 25 WE WANT TO SEE IT BY THE END OF THE YEAR.

1	THIS WOULD NOT REQUIRE THE BOARD TO
2	CONSIDER THE PETITION. IT WOULD ALSO NOT REQUIRE
3	THE BOARD TO PERMIT AN EXCEPTION TO ITS EARLIER
4	POLICY OF RETROACTIVE TIME EXTENSIONS. IN LIGHT
5	OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS, THE STAFF IS RECOMMENDING
6	BOTH APPROVAL OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
7	ELEMENT AND CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE
8	CONDITIONED UPON THE CITY SUBMITTING ITS FIRST
9	ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD BY DECEMBER 31ST OF
10	THIS YEAR FOR THE YEARS 1995 AND 1996.
11	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. IF YOU RECALL
12	THE DISCUSSION OF US NOT GRANTING RETROACTIVE TIME
13	EXTENSIONS FOR TIME PERIODS THAT HAVE ALREADY
14	PASSED, THE THAT WAS PART OF THE LOGIC WAS HOW
15	CAN YOU EXTEND SOMETHING WHEN WE'VE ALREADY PASSED
16	THE TIME THAT WAS BEING DISCUSSED, BUT ALSO THAT
17	ANNUAL REPORTING PROCESS AND THE DETERMINATION OF
18	HOW THEY DID DURING THAT YEAR WOULD BE THE PROCESS
19	BY WHICH WE WOULD DECIDE WHAT TYPE OF RELIEF WE
20	WOULD PROVIDE.
21	AND I THINK THE STAFF WITHIN THE
22	SPIRIT OF THAT HAS COME UP WITH AN ALTERNATE
23	SOLUTION TO THE RETROACTIVE TIME EXTENSION. IT
24	DOES GIVE THE CITY, IN ESSENCE, AN EXTENSION

25

WITHOUT FORMALLY GRANTING IT RETROACTIVELY AS THEY

- 1 REQUESTED.
- 2 SO I THINK THE STAFF HAS MADE A GOOD
- 3 RECOMMENDATION HERE. ARE THERE OTHER OPINIONS?
- 4 OTHER COMMENTS THAT MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE WOULD
- 5 LIKE TO MAKE? WE DON'T HAVE ANY REQUESTS FROM THE
- 6 CITY OF SUSANVILLE. I DON'T BELIEVE ANYBODY IS
- 7 HERE. IT'S ALMOST AS HARD TO GET FROM SUSANVILLE
- 8 FROM SACRAMENTO AS IT IS FROM CRESCENT CITY, NOT
- 9 QUITE THOUGH.
- 10 I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION THEN.
- 11 WHAT'S THE PLEASURE OF THE COMMITTEE.
- 12 MEMBER FRAZEE: IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH
- 13 THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION, THAT WOULD INVOLVE
- 14 ATTACHMENT 2 AND ATTACHMENT 3, APPROVAL OF THE
- 15 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE, AND THEN THE
- 16 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE --
- 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: THAT IS CORRECT.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YES.
- MR. HUSTON: ALSO DISAPPROVAL OF THE
- 20 PETITION.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, THAT'S THE NEXT
- 22 ITEM. SO WE SHOULD PROBABLY TAKE THAT AS A
- 23 SEPARATE ACTION. BUT I DO THINK THAT YOU'RE
- 24 RIGHT. THE ATTACHMENTS -- RESOLUTIONS THAT ARE
- 25 ATTACHMENTS 2 AND 3 ARE WHAT WE NEED TO ACT ON

- 1 TODAY.
- 2 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION
- 3 97-342 AND 97-384.
- 4 MEMBER GOTCH: AND I WILL SECOND. I'M
- 5 SORRY. I JUST WANTED TO READ THESE FIRST.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 7 SECONDED. ANY COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? IF NOT, WE'LL
- 8 SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION CARRIES
- 9 THREE ZERO. AND WE'LL PLACE IT ON CONSENT. AND I
- 10 SUPPOSE IF THE CITY WANTS TO APPEAR BEFORE THE
- BOARD, THEN WE CAN PULL IT OFF CONSENT. SINCE
- 12 THEY DIDN'T SHOW UP AT COMMITTEE, WE'LL GO AHEAD
- 13 AND PLACE IT ON CONSENT.
- 14 NOW RETURNING TO ITEM 10, WE'VE
- 15 ALREADY HAD THE STAFF REPORT ON THAT, IT IS
- 16 ESSENTIALLY THE DISAPPROVAL OF THE TIME

## EXTENSION

- 17 IN MEETING THE 1995 25-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.
- AND IS THERE A RESOLUTION ON THAT?
- MR. HUSTON: NO.
- 20 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE DON'T HAVE ONE YET
- 21 BECAUSE WE WEREN'T CERTAIN OF THE OUTCOME, BUT

 ${\tt WE}$ 

22 WOULD HAVE ONE BY THE TIME OF THE BOARD MEETING.

23		СН	AIRMA	N C	HES	BRO:	OKA	Υ.	SO I	GUESS	ΓI
24 25						_	_	_		T STAF	
AND	RECOMI	MEMDAI	I I ON ,	DT	SAPP	KUVE	TUE	T T1/11	L LA	TENSIO	Ν,

FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR. 1 2 MEMBER FRAZEE: SO MOVED. 3 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED. 4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND INCLUDED IN THAT 5 WOULD BE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO PREPARE A RESOLU-6 TION FOR THE BOARD. OKAY. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE 7 PRIOR ROLL CALL. THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO. THANKS, BILL. 8 9 NEXT WE HAVE ITEM 15, WHICH IS 10 CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE PETITION FOR REDUCTION OF THE YEAR 2000 GOAL FOR 11 THE CITY OF GONZALES. FORTUNATELY IN MONTEREY 12 13 COUNTY THEY HAVE GOOD SENSE TO PRONOUNCE HISPANIC NAMES WITH THE CORRECT PRONUNCIATION. 14 15 MS. FRIEDMAN: THE NEXT THREE ITEMS, TWO 16 PETITIONS FOR REDUCTION AND AN SRRE, WILL BE 17 PRESENTED BY TABETHA WILLMON. ALL THREE OF THESE ITEMS ARE FOR SMALL RURAL JURISDICTIONS IN 18 19 MONTEREY COUNTY. 20 MS. WILLMON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M HERE TO 21 22 PRESENT INFORMATION ON ITEM NO. 15, WHICH IS THE

PETITION FOR REDUCTION FOR THE CITY OF GONZALES

23

ΙN

MONTEREY COUNTY.
THIS ITEM IS THE STAFF RECOMMENDA-

TION FOR THE COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE CITY OF 1 2 GONZALES' PETITION FOR REDUCTION IN THE 2000 GOAL 3 FROM THE MANDATED 50 PERCENT TO 32.1 PERCENT. 4 THE CITY OF GONZALES MEETS THE RURAL 5 CRITERIA AND QUALIFIES TO PETITION THE BOARD FOR Α 6 REDUCTION IN THE MANDATED GOAL. IT HAS AN AREA OF 7 1.1 SQUARE MILES, A POPULATION OF 6,180 PEOPLE, AND A WASTE GENERATION RATE OF 5.9 TONS PER DAY. 8 9 THE CITY OF GONZALES CONTRIBUTES APPROXIMATELY .005 PERCENT OF THE STATE'S 10 11 WASTESTREAM. THE CITY PLANS TO MEET 32.1-PERCENT DIVERSION BY THE END OF 2000 THROUGH IMPLEMENTA-12 13 TION OF THE FOLLOWING PROGRAMS: BUY-BACK AND 14 DROP-OFF CENTERS, GOVERNMENTAL WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING PROGRAMS, SCHOOL RECYCLING PROGRAMS, 15 16 PUBLIC OUTREACH PROGRAMS IN BOTH ENGLISH AND SPANISH, AND SINGLE-FAMILY CURBSIDE RECYCLING AND 17 18 YARD WASTE COMPOSTING PROGRAMS. 19 THE CITY OF GONZALES HAS REQUESTED

Α

20	REDUCTION IN THE 2000 GOAL FOR THE FOLLOWING
21	REASONS: LIMITED REVENUE, LIMITED CITY STAFF,
22	STRICT LIMITATIONS ON OPTIONS FOR ADDITIONAL FEES
23	DUE TO ITS SMALL POPULATION AND ECONOMIC BASE,
24 25 TN	LIMITED COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL BUSINESSES WITH CORRESPONDING WASTESTREAMS, UNDEVELOPED MARKETS

- 1 THE REGIONS, AND FISCAL IMPACTS OF STATE MANDATED
- 2 PROGRAMS.
- 3 BOARD STAFF HAVE REVIEWED THE
- 4 PETITION FROM GONZALES AND FOUND THAT IT COMPLIES
- 5 WITH THE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. BOARD STAFF
- 6 BELIEVE THAT A REDUCTION IN THE YEAR 2000
- 7 REQUIREMENT TO 32.1 PERCENT IS JUSTIFIED AND
- 8 RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE CONSIDER FOR
- 9 APPROVAL THE CITY OF GONZALES' PETITION FOR
- 10 REDUCTION.
- 11 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. COMMENTS?
- 13 QUESTIONS? MOTION?
- 14 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL MOVE APPROVAL OF THE
- 15 REQUESTED REDUCTION.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED. IS
- 17 THERE A SECOND?
- 18 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 20 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
- 21 MOTION CARRIES.
- MOVE ON TO ITEM 16, WHICH IS THE
- 23 PETITION FOR REDUCTION FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD.
- MS. WILLMON: THIS ITEM IS A STAFF
- 25 RECOMMENDATION FOR THE COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE

- 1 CITY OF GREENFIELD'S PETITION FOR REDUCTION IN THE
- 2 2000 GOAL FROM 50 PERCENT TO 32.9 PERCENT. THE
- 3 CITY OF GREENFIELD ALSO MEETS THE RURAL CRITERIA
- 4 AND QUALIFIES TO PETITION FOR A REDUCTION IN THE
- 5 GOAL.
- 6 IT HAS AN AREA OF 2.1 SQUARE MILES,
- 7 A POPULATION OF 9,159 PEOPLE, AND HAS A WASTE
- 8 GENERATION RATE OF 10.6 TONS PER DAY. THE CITY OF
- 9 GREENFIELD CONTRIBUTES APPROXIMATELY .016 PERCENT
- 10 OF THE STATE'S WASTESTREAM.
- 11 IT TOO PLANS TO MEET THE 32.9
- 12 DIVERSION BY THE END OF 2000 THROUGH SIMILAR
- 13 PROGRAMS, SUCH AS BUY-BACK AND DROP-OFF CENTERS,
- 14 GOVERNMENTAL WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
- 15 PROGRAMS, SCHOOL RECYCLING PROGRAMS, CURBSIDE --
- 16 SINGLE-FAMILY CURBSIDE AND YARD WASTE COMPOSTING.
- 17 THE CITY OF GREENFIELD HAS REQUESTED
- 18 A REDUCTION IN THE 2000 GOAL FOR SIMILAR REASONS
- AS THE CITY OF GONZALES, SINCE THEY'RE JUST AN
- 20 ARM'S THROW FROM EACH OTHER: LIMITED REVENUE,
- 21 LIMITED CITY STAFF, STRICT LIMITATIONS ON OPTIONS
- 22 FOR ADDITIONAL FEES DUE TO SMALL POPULATION

## AND

23 ECONOMIC BASE, LIMITED COMMERCIAL AND

## INDUSTRIAL

- 24 BUSINESSES WITH CORRESPONDING WASTESTREAMS,
- 25 UNDEVELOPED MARKETS, AND FISCAL IMPACTS OF STATE

- 1 MANDATED PROGRAMS.
- 2 BOARD STAFF HAVE REVIEWED THE
- 3 PETITION FROM GREENFIELD AND FOUND THAT IT
- 4 COMPLIES WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. BOARD
- 5 STAFF BELIEVE THAT A REDUCTION IN THE YEAR 2000
- 6 REQUIREMENT TO 32.9 IS JUSTIFIED AND RECOMMENDS
- 7 THAT THE COMMITTEE CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL THE CITY
- 8 OF GREENFIELD'S PETITION FOR REDUCTION.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 10 COMMENTS? QUESTIONS?
- 11 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF
- 12 RESOLUTION 97-339.
- 13 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 15 SECONDED. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, WE'LL
- 16 SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION CARRIES
- 17 THREE ZERO. AND THIS AND THE PRIOR ITEM WILL GO
- 18 ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. OKAY.
- 19 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 17, WHICH
- 20 ALSO GREENFIELD. IT'S THE APPROVAL OF THE SOURCE
- 21 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND NDFE FOR THE
- 22 CITY OF GREENFIELD.
- MS. WILLMON: THIS ITEM IS BEING

- 24 PRESENTED BEFORE THE COMMITTEE BECAUSE STAFF
- 25 RECOMMENDATION ON THIS ITEM, AND PARTICULARLY THE

- 1 SRRE, WAS DEPENDENT ON THE OUTCOME OF THE PREVIOUS
- 2 ITEM, WHICH WAS CONSIDERATION OF THE PETITION FOR
- 3 REDUCTION FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD.
- 4 AS A RESULT OF THE COMMITTEE'S
- 5 DECISION TO GRANT THE PETITION, STAFF RECOMMENDS
- 6 THAT THE SRRE FOR THE CITY BE APPROVED. THE
- 7 CITY'S SRRE PROJECTS TO MEET 26.1 DIVERSION BY
- 8 1997 AS ALLOWED BY THEIR BOARD APPROVED EXTENSION
- 9 AND 32.9 BY THE YEAR 2000 AS ALLOWED BY THEIR
- 10 NEWLY COMMITTEE APPROVED REDUCTION.
- 11 THE CITY PLANS AND HAS IMPLEMENTED
- 12 SEVERAL PROGRAMS TO MEET THESE GOALS, OF WHICH I
- 13 DESCRIBED IN THE PREVIOUS ITEM. STAFF HAS ALSO
- 14 FOUND THE CITY OF GREENFIELD'S NDFE -- NEVER MIND.
- 15 THAT WAS ON CONSENT. WASN'T SURE IF THAT WAS
- 16 GOING TO BE ON CONSENT OR NOT. THIS CONCLUDES MY
- 17 PRESENTATION.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY
- 19 COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? MOTION?
- 20 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION NO.
- 21 97-337.
- 22 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 24 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
- 25 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

```
1
               MEMBER FRAZEE: AND THEN WE DID THE NDFE
 2
      ALREADY.
 3
 4
               MEMBER GOTCH: IT WAS ON CONSENT.
 5
               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ITEM 23 IS
      CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE
 6
 7
      THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGE FROM 1990 TO 1995 FOR
      PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SRRE FOR THE CITY OF OXNARD.
 8
 9
               MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, PAT SCHIAVO WILL MAKE
10
      THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
               MR. SCHIAVO: GOOD MORNING. ON JULY 25TH
11
      IN 1995, THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY APPROVED THE SOURCE
12
13
      REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
      OXNARD. SINCE THAT TIME THE CITY FELT THAT THEY
14
      COULD COME UP WITH A MUCH MORE ACCURATE APPROACH,
15
16
      AND SO THEY'RE REQUESTING THAT THEY BE ALLOWED TO
17
      CREATE A NEW BASE YEAR FOR THE YEAR 1995. THIS
      APPROACH IS VERY CONSISTENT WITH THE BOARD'S
18
19
      ACTION TO DATE OF APPROVING THE MEASUREMENT
      ACCURACY WORKING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN MARCH
20
      OF THIS YEAR, WHICH TOOK PLACE AND SAID THAT
21
22
      CITIES COULD CREATE NEW BASE YEARS.
23
                    TO BUILD A NEW BASE YEAR, THE CITY
```

SURVEYED A NUMBER OF RECYCLERS, PRIVATE AND

24

25 PUBLIC, THAT ACCEPTED MATERIALS FROM THE CITY OF

- OXNARD. THEY ALSO SURVEYED PRIVATE, LARGE,

  INDUSTRIAL COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES IN THE AREA WHO
- 3 HAD SELF-CONTAINED PROGRAMS THAT DIDN'T GO THROUGH
- 4 THE RECYCLING BUSINESSES IN THE AREA. AND THEY
- 5 ALSO SURVEYED PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SOURCE REDUCTION
- 6 PROGRAMS IN THE AREA.
- 7 SO THEY AGGREGATED THIS INFORMATION
- 8 FOR THE DIVERSION SIDE OF THE EQUATION, AND THEN
- 9 WHAT THEY ALSO DID IS THEY USED THE DISPOSAL
- 10 REPORTING SYSTEM THAT THE BOARD MAINTAINS AND
- 11 CREATED THE NEW GENERATION NUMBER FOR 1995. SO
- 12 THEIR PROJECTION FOR 1995, WHICH IS ACTUALLY THE
- 13 REALITY, WAS 25 PERCENT IN 1995.
- AND THE BOARD STAFF SCRUTINIZED THE
- 15 APPROACH BECAUSE THIS WAS THE FIRST REQUEST OF
- 16 THIS KIND THAT'S COME TO THE COMMITTEE AND FELT
- 17 VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THE APPROACH THAT WAS TAKEN
- 18 AND THAT OXNARD DID AN EXCELLENT JOB.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I MADE MY
- 20 COMMENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING. ARE
- THERE OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THIS?
- MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE RESOLUTION 97-359.
- 23 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND

25 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.

THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

1

```
2
                    AND I'M GOING TO CALL FOR ABOUT A
      TWO-MINUTE BREAK BEFORE WE DO ITEM 25.
 3
 4
                    (RECESS TAKEN.)
 5
                CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE'RE NOW BACK
      IN SESSION. FOR THE RECORD, WITHOUT ANY OBJECTION
 6
 7
      FROM OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS, WE WILL PLACE ITEM
      23 ON THE CONSENT AGENDA OF THE BOARD.
 8
 9
                    THE NEXT ITEM IS CONSIDERATION OF
      APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. AND BEFORE
10
      STAFF INTRODUCES IT, I WANTED TO MAKE A COUPLE OF
11
12
      COMMENTS. WE'VE GENERATED SOME LOCAL INPUT AND
      ALSO INPUT FROM OTHER BOARD MEMBERS' OFFICES AND
13
      FROM OTHER DIVISIONS OF THE BOARD IN TERMS OF
14
      INTERDIVISIONAL STAFF COMMENT. AND I WANTED TO
15
16
      COMMENT ON A COUPLE OF AREAS THAT WERE IDENTIFIED
17
      AND I THINK STAFF'S RESPONDED TO, AND I CERTAINLY
      WELCOME ANY ADDITIONAL STAFF COMMENT.
18
19
                    THE FIRST ONE WAS THE FACT THAT
20
      WHILE THIS IS CALLED A LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN, IT
      DIDN'T -- WE DIDN'T JUMP IN AND ROLL UP OUR
21
22
      SLEEVES AND ADDRESS THE MARKETS QUESTION. THAT
      WAS ORIGINALLY SORT OF, I THINK, INTENTIONAL IN
23
24
      THE PART OF BOTH THE DIVISION AND CERTAINLY MY
      ENCOURAGEMENT FROM THIS COMMITTEE SO THAT WE
25
```

WEREN'T DUPLICATING THE WORK THAT THE MARKETS 1 2 DIVISION AND THE MARKETS COMMITTEE HAVE DONE OVER THE YEARS TO DEVELOP A MARKETS PLAN. ON THE OTHER 3 HAND, THEY'RE NOT UNRELATED. IT'S NOT LIKE 4 THEY'RE COMPLETELY DIVORCED FROM EACH OTHER, 5 б REFERRING BACK TO KEVIN'S METAPHOR. I WON'T NEED 7 ANY POSTNUPTIAL AGREEMENTS HERE. 8 THE -- I THINK THAT IT'S CRUCIAL 9 THAT WE ADDRESS THE QUESTION OF DEMAND, WHICH IS THE WAY I VIEW THE MARKETS PLAN, AND ALSO THE 10 QUESTION OF SUPPLY, WHICH IS THE WAY I VIEW THE 11 12 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. IT'S REALLY TWO PURPOSES OF A LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. ONE IS TO HELP LOCAL 13 JURISDICTIONS ACHIEVE THEIR STATUTORY REQUIRE-14 MENTS. THE SECOND ONE IS TO MAKE SURE THAT AN 15 16 ADEQUATE, HIGH QUALITY SUPPLY OF MATERIALS IS 17 GENERATED TO SUPPORT ALL THE EFFORTS THAT ARE BEING MADE OVER ON THE MARKETS SIDE. 18 19 SO THE PLAN HAS BEEN ADAPTED AND 20 MODIFIED TO CROSS REFERENCE AND TRY TO CREATE SOME INTEGRATION, WHICH IS PART OF WHAT WE'RE SUPPOSED 21 22 TO BE ABOUT ALSO WITH THE MARKETS PLAN, THANKS IN PART TO INPUT FROM BOARD MEMBER RELIS' OFFICE AND 23 24 ALSO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

25

BUT I WANTED TO MAKE REFERENCE TO

THAT. THE TWO ARE INTERRELATED, BUT IT'S LIKE AN 1 2 EQUATION OR SOMETHING. YOU NEED BOTH SIDES OF THE EQUATION IN ORDER TO SUCCEED IN THIS BUSINESS. 3 THE OTHER THING -- CONCERN THAT WAS 4 RAISED HAD TO DO WITH THE QUESTION OF JUST 5 б TARGETING -- APPEARING TO JUST TARGET THE SMALLEST 7 JURISDICTIONS AND PUT TOO MUCH RESOURCES INTO 8 THAT. AND I THINK THAT THAT CERTAINLY WASN'T THE 9 INTENT, BUT IT COULD HAVE BEEN READ THAT WAY, SO I THINK THAT'S BEEN RESPONDED TO, AND I'LL ASK STAFF 10 TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT. 11 12 IT'S BOTH -- THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO HELP ADDRESS THAT 13 QUESTION, BUT ALSO I THINK OUR ACTION, OUR 14 PREVIOUS ACTION, THAT'S AIMED AT STREAMLINING THE 15 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROCESS FOR RURALS SHOULD HELP TO 16 17 CLEAR THE DECK A LITTLE BIT OF THE RURALS BEING THE SMALLEST WASTESTREAM DEMANDING A LARGE AMOUNT 18 19 OF THE BOARD'S STAFF TIME AND ALLOW PERHAPS A 20 BROADER APPROACH TO LOCAL ASSISTANCE, WHICH INVOLVES SUCH THINGS AS THE CASE STUDIES, FOR 21 22 EXAMPLE, WHICH WE'RE GOING TO DO A LOT OF. 23 IF THE BOARD ADOPTS THIS PLAN, WE'RE

GOING TO TRY TO ADOPT A WIDE VARIETY OF CASE

STUDIES THAT WILL ADDRESS THE INDIVIDUAL KINDS OF

24

25

- 1 PROBLEMS THAT DIFFERENT SIZES AND DIFFERENT TYPES
- 2 OF JURISDICTIONS HAVE AROUND THE STATE.
- 3 HOPEFULLY I HAVEN'T GIVEN YOUR WHOLE
- 4 PRESENTATION. THAT'S MY POINT OF VIEW AS THE
- 5 COMMITTEE CHAIR. I KNOW THAT THERE'S A LOT MORE
- 6 TO IT, AND I -- DON'T WORRY ABOUT REPEATING
- 7 ANYTHING I'VE SAID. I THINK THE STAFF PERSPECTIVE
- 8 NEEDS TO BE GIVEN HERE.
- 9 BUT I WANTED UP FRONT TO ADDRESS, I
- 10 THINK, SOME PRETTY SIGNIFICANT ISSUES THAT I'VE
- 11 HEARD FROM TALKING TO LOCAL OFFICIALS AROUND THE
- 12 STATE, TALKING TO MY COLLEAGUES, AND MY STAFF, MY
- OFFICE TALKING TO THE OFFICES OF OTHER BOARD
- 14 MEMBERS ABOUT THEIR CONCERNS. SO THAT BEING THE
- 15 CASE, JUDY.
- 16 MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU. AS YOU KNOW,
- 17 IN MARCH THIS COMMITTEE DIRECTED STAFF TO PREPARE
- THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN AND PRESENT IT TO THE
- 19 COMMITTEE. AND THE PLAN WAS TO IDENTIFY LOCAL
- 20 ASSISTANCE WHICH COULD BE PROVIDED TO JURIS-
- 21 DICTIONS, THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD REGARDING PROGRAM
- 22 PLANNING IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT, AND THE
- 23 CRITERIA THE BOARD COULD USE OVER THE NEXT TWO TO
- 24 THREE YEARS TO PRIORITIZE REQUESTS, AND TO ASSIST

25

## LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO MEET THEIR DISPOSAL REDUCTION

- 1 REQUIREMENTS.
- 2 AT YOUR MAY MEETING THE COMMITTEE
- 3 DIRECTED STAFF TO RELEASE THE DRAFT TO THE
- 4 JURISDICTIONS, INTEREST GROUPS, AND THE INTERESTED
- 5 INDIVIDUALS FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT. AND STAFF
- 6 HAVE ANALYZED THE COMMENTS AND MADE SOME CHANGES
- 7 IN THE DRAFT. AND YOU'VE INDICATED, CERTAINLY,
- 8 THE AREAS THAT WE'VE HEARD COMMENTERS MAKE, SO
- 9 THAT'S WHAT WE CONCENTRATED ON OBVIOUSLY.
- 10 AND I DO WANT TO SAY ONE THING
- 11 BEFORE I TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO STAFF. THE
- 12 ONE COMMENT THAT WE KEPT HEARING FROM LOCAL
- GOVERNMENT IS WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE REGARDLESS
- OF WHETHER WE'RE DOING A GOOD JOB OR NOT. SO IT
- 15 WAS ONE THING THAT WE KEPT HEARING PRETTY
- 16 UNIVERSALLY WAS WE LIKE AND WE NEED YOUR
- 17 ASSISTANCE.
- 18 SO WITH THAT, I'LL TURN THE
- 19 PRESENTATION OVER TO ALAN WHITE OF THE OFFICE OF
- 20 LOCAL ASSISTANCE. AND ONCE HE'S CONCLUDED AND
- 21 YOU'VE CONCLUDED, I'D LIKE TO MAKE SOME CLOSING
- 22 REMARKS.
- 23 MR. WHITE: WHAT I WANT TO SAY IS WHAT
- 24 YOU BOTH SAID, THAT'S FINE. I THINK MINE'S MORE

25 STOIC, AND YOU WILL HAVE TO PUT ALL THESE DATES

- 1 AND HAVE TO PUT ALL THESE THINGS IN FOR THE
- 2 RECORD. YOU GUYS DID A BETTER JOB THAN I DID, BUT
- 3 I'LL DO IT ANYWAY.
- 4 SO GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND
- 5 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M PLEASED TO PRESENT THE
- 6 REVISED DRAFT, THE REVISED DRAFT, OF THE FIRST
- 7 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN TO YOU TODAY. AS YOU JUST
- 8 HEARD, BUT I'LL SAY IT AGAIN FOR THE RECORD, AS
- 9 YOU KNOW, ON MARCH 22D THIS COMMITTEE DIRECTED
- 10 STAFF TO PREPARE A DRAFT LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN AND
- 11 PRESENT IT TO THE COMMITTEE AT ITS MAY MEETING.
- 12 AT THE MAY MEETING THE COMMITTEE
- 13 GAVE STAFF THEIR COMMENTS AND DIRECTED STAFF TO
- 14 RELEASE THE DRAFT PLAN TO JURISDICTIONS, INTEREST
- 15 GROUPS, AND THE INTERESTED INDIVIDUALS FOR REVIEW
- 16 AND COMMENT. WE DID SEND OUT THE DRAFT PLAN TO
- 17 ALL 530 PLUS JURISDICTIONS WHO'S ON OUR BOARD'S
- 18 MAILING LIST. WE'VE ALSO SENT COPIES TO ALL THE
- 19 INTEREST GROUPS, HELD AN INTERNAL MEETING HERE.
- 20 SEVERAL OF YOU HAVE TAKEN COPIES OF THE PLAN OUT
- 21 TO VARIOUS OTHER INTEREST GROUPS. I THINK WE
- 22 DID -- I THINK WE'RE PRETTY CONFIDENT WE DID A
- 23 GOOD JOB OF GETTING THE WORD OUT.
- 24 WHEN I WROTE THIS, STAFF HAD
- 25 RECEIVED 18 WRITTEN COMMENTS. SOUNDS LIKE WE MAY

- 1 BE UP TO A TOTAL OF 20 FROM WHAT I HEARD OF TWO OF
- 2 YOUR FOUR THAT I HAVEN'T YET RECEIVED OR SEEN.
- 3 THAT'S ALL WE'VE GOTTEN IN WRITTEN COMMENTS IS
- 4 ABOUT 20.
- 5 AND THOSE COMMENTS FALL INTO THREE
- 6 BASIC SUBJECT GROUPS. THE FIRST AND THE LARGEST
- 7 ARE REALLY EDITS. HERE AND THERE YOU WILL SEE
- 8 DIFFERENT WORDING CHANGES THAT THEY WOULD PREFER
- 9 AIMED AT SOME OF THE SUBJECTS THAT YOU'RE

### BRINGING

- 10 UP. THEY WANT TO BE SURE THAT -- SINCE THIS IS
- 11 RECEIVED BY EVERYBODY, JUST THESE LITTLE TINY

### WORD

12 CHANGES THAT HELP ENCOURAGE THAT REFINEMENT OF

### THE

- 13 MESSAGE, AND MOST OF THOSE WE'VE GONE AHEAD AND
- 14 INCLUDED.
- THE SECOND GROUP OF COMMENTS IS
- 16 COMPRISED MAINLY OF JURISDICTIONS THAT JUDY

### JUST

- 17 REFERRED TO THAT WANT TO BE, EVEN THOUGH THEY
- 18 REALLY HAVE THEIR ACT TOGETHER, THEY STILL WANT

ΤO

19 BE ABLE TO GET ASSISTANCE IF THEY NEED IT,

# WHETHER 20 THEY CAN GET INTO OUR LIBRARY OR GET AN EXAMPLE OF 21 A BETTER PROGRAM THAT'S WORKING. THEY STILL WANT 22 TO BE REASSURED THAT WE'RE GOING TO ANSWER THE 23 PHONE. 24 AND, OF COURSE, THAT'S ALWAYS BEEN 25 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE PRIORITY, SO THAT

- 1 WILL BE DONE. SO WE WENT THROUGH THE PLAN AND
- 2 MADE SEVERAL CHANGES, ESPECIALLY USING THE WORD
- 3 "ALL JURISDICTIONS." YOU WILL SEE THAT A COUPLE
- 4 OF TIMES. THEY EVEN LEFT IT UNDERLINED IN THE
- 5 COPY YOU'VE GOT. WE WENT THROUGH AND CLARIFIED
- 6 THAT ALL JURISDICTIONS WILL ALWAYS RECEIVE
- 7 ASSISTANCE. WE'RE NOT GOING TO NEVER NOT ANSWER
- 8 THE PHONE.
- 9 THE PRIORITIES ARE THERE JUST TO
- 10 HELP STAFF AND MANAGEMENT PRIORITIZE WHEN WE GET
- 11 THE BIG JOBS WE HAVE TO GET IN.
- 12 THE THIRD GROUP, AS YOU JUST
- 13 REFERRED TO, CHAIRMAN, WERE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 14 FOCUSING ON THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS
- 15 THAT MEET THE 939 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS, AND THEY
- 16 WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE FOCUS ON THE TECHNICAL
- 17 ASSISTANCE FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS WITH THE
- 18 GREATEST POTENTIAL FOR DIVERSION. AND SO, AGAIN,
- 19 BASED ON WHAT THEY SAID, BASED ON YOUR INPUT FROM
- 20 THE LAST MEETING, I'VE GONE THROUGH SEVERAL PLACES
- 21 AND TRIED TO CLARIFY THE FACT THAT WE DO HAVE BOTH
- 22 MANDATES, AND WE WILL BE WORKING FOR BOTH. I
- 23 DIDN'T USE THE WORD "RATCHET UP" THAT YOU GAVE ME
- 24 LAST TIME. BUT, IN EFFECT, YOU CAN SEE IT
- 25 HOPEFULLY AND WE'RE CLEARLY IN THE PLAN THAT WE

HAVE A MANDATE TO GET ALL THOSE PLANS IN, AND THAT 1 2 MANDATE WILL ALWAYS BE MET. BUT THE IMPLEMENTATION PART I'VE TRIED TO PUSH A LITTLE 3 4 HARDER SO YOU CAN SEE WHERE WE WOULD FOCUS ON 5 IMPLEMENTATION. SO THOSE ARE ALSO INCLUDED IN THE 6 PLAN. 7 AND THEN SIT BACK AND LISTEN TO THE PARAGRAPH THAT I HAVE TO READ. AS REVISED, THIS 8 9 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN STILL IDENTIFIES THE LOCAL 10 ASSISTANCE WHICH HAS BEEN REQUESTED BY JURISDIC-TION, THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD REGARDING PROGRAM 11 PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ENFORCEMENT, AND THE 12 13 CRITERIA THAT THE BOARD COULD USE OVER THE NEXT TWO TO THREE YEARS TO PRIORITIZE REQUESTS AND 14 ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO MEET THEIR DISPOSAL 15 16 REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS. THE REVISED PLAN SETS DIRECTION FOR BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND STRONGLY 17 ENCOURAGES THE FORMATION OF PARTNERSHIPS AS WELL 18 19 AS COORDINATE ASSISTANCE INTEGRATED OUTREACH. ALSO HELP TO REVIEW JURISDICTION NEEDS, CURRENT 20 21 POLICIES, REGULATIONS, AND LEGISLATION TO 22 CONTINUALLY STREAMLINE, CLARIFY, AND SIMPLIFY LOCAL GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENTS. 23

AS YOU CAN SEE, THE PLAN STILL

24

# 25 MAINTAINS A BALANCE OF ASSISTING THE JURISDICTIONS

AND MEETING THE LEGISLATIVE PLANNING REQUIREMENTS 1 2 AND THEN ASSISTING THEM IN SUCCESSFULLY MOVING ON INTO THE IMPLEMENTATION STAGE. THEREFORE, STAFF 3 4 RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. AND THAT BASICALLY CONCLUDES MY PRESENTA-5 TION, AND I'LL GO BACK TO JUDY. 6 7 MS. FRIEDMAN: ACTUALLY I WAS GOING TO WAIT FOR YOUR ACTION AND THEN SAY A FEW WORDS. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: COMMENTS FROM OTHER 10 MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE? I NOTE THAT THERE'S STAFF PEOPLE -- THEY PROBABLY DON'T HEAR ME 11 TALKING RIGHT AT THE MOMENT. THERE'S STAFF PEOPLE 12 13 OF TWO OF THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE HERE, AND WE'VE TRIED TO BE AS INCLUSIVE AS WE COULD. 14 I'M HOPING WHEN THIS COMES TO THE BOARD, THAT IF 15 THERE WERE CONCERNS, WE WILL HAVE INCORPORATED 16 17 THOSE CONCERNS. CAN I ASK THE -- HOWARD, CAN I ASK 18 19 THE ADVISORS TO THE OTHER TWO BOARD MEMBERS THAT ARE REPRESENTED HERE WHETHER THERE ARE ADDITIONAL 20 SUBSTANTIVE CONCERNS THAT ARE LIKELY TO GET RAISED 21 22 RELATIVE TO THIS? WE'VE TRIED TO BE AS INCLUSIVE AS WE COULD. I'D LIKE TO GET THESE, IF THERE ARE 23

REMAINING ISSUES, MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE TAKEN

24

# 25 CARE OF SO THAT WE CAN BRING THIS THING FORWARD

```
WITH SOME HELPFULNESS. I KNOW WE'VE TRIED TO
 1
 2
      COMMUNICATE AND INCORPORATE PAUL'S CONCERNS. BUT
      I JUST WANTED TO ASK YOU --
 3
 4
               MR. LEVENSON: APPRECIATE THE
      OPPORTUNITY, MR. CHAIR. I WOULD SAY IN REVIEWING
 5
      THE PLAN I THINK STAFF HAS RESPONDED TO PAUL'S
 6
 7
      CONCERNS THAT WE RAISED ABOUT THE PRIORITY ISSUES
      AND SOME OF THE LINKS WITH MARKETS.
 8
 9
                     WE WERE TALKING WITH PAUL LAST
10
      NIGHT -- HE'S ON JURY DUTY, AS YOU KNOW. IT'S A
      LITTLE DIFFICULT -- BUT HE DOES WANT TO DISCUSS
11
      ONE ISSUE, I THINK, AT THE BOARD MEETING; THAT IS,
12
      THE NATURE OF ASSISTANCE, NOT TO -- NOT THE PLAN
13
      ITSELF, BUT WHAT IS THE TYPE OF ASSISTANCE THAT WE
14
      MIGHT BE PROVIDING TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS IN TERMS
15
      OF CARRYING OUT PROGRAMS, IDENTIFYING BARRIERS,
16
      HOW MIGHT WE WORK BETTER TO AID LOCAL JURIS-
17
      DICTIONS AND THEIR COLLECTORS AND POTENTIAL MARKET
18
19
      END USERS IN IDENTIFYING SPECIFIC PROBLEMS. SO I
      THINK HE WANTS TO DISCUSS THAT IN GENERAL, BUT
20
      OTHER THAN THAT, IT'S NOT A SUBSTANTIVE PROBLEM.
21
22
      IT'S JUST A FOCUS ISSUE. DOES THAT HELP?
23
               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YES, THAT IS
```

HELPFUL.

- THANKS. ANY CONCERNS FROM MR. JONES' OFFICE
- 25 THAT --

1	MR. LIPSON: WE HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO
2	REVIEW THIS WITH HIM IN DETAIL, BUT PRELIMINARY
3	REVIEW JUST RAISED A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. ONE, I
4	KNOW THAT EARLY ON WHEN THIS QUESTION CAME UP OF
5	THE BOARD'S ROLE IN LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING,
6	STEVE'S CONCERN WAS THAT WE MOVE TOWARD IMPLEMEN-
7	TATION ASSISTANCE VERSUS PLANNING ASSISTANCE. AND
8	THAT WAS ONE PRIORITY THAT I THINK IS SOMEWHAT
9	REFLECTED IN THIS LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.
10	THE OTHER WAS A CONCERN THAT
11	RESOURCES BE DIRECTED TOWARD THOSE JURISDICTIONS
12	THAT HAVE DONE THE LEAST. THAT'S A MESSAGE THAT
13	SEEMS TO COME THROUGH FROM SOME OF THE DISCUSSION
14	IN THE PLAN, AND THAT MAY BE SENDING A WRONG
15	MESSAGE. I DON'T KNOW THAT WE WANT TO SAY TO
16	JURISDICTIONS THOSE WHO ARE WORSE SHAPE DESERVE
17	THE MOST ASSISTANCE FROM THE BOARD. SO I THINK
18	THAT'S A QUESTION THAT NEEDS SOME MORE DISCUSSION.
19	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IS THAT RESPONDING
20	PRIMARILY TO THE PREVIOUS DRAFT, OR IS THAT STILL
21	A CONCERN WITH THE CURRENT DRAFT
22	MR. LIPSON: I READ THE DRAFT IN THE
23	IN YOUR PACKET.
24 25	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, CERTAINLY, I THINK THAT MY RESPONSE AND THE STAFF'S RESPONSE,

- 1 AND I DON'T THINK I CAN SPEAK FOR THE COMMITTEE,
- 2 BUT I THINK THERE'S A GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH BOTH
- 3 OF THE THINGS THAT YOU'VE SAID. AND SO IF THERE
- 4 ARE SPECIFIC -- IF THERE CONTINUE TO BE SPECIFIC
- 5 AREAS THAT WE CAN FURTHER CLARIFY THAT IN ORDER

ТО

- 6 ANSWER THOSE CONCERNS, WE WOULD WELCOME THE INPUT.
  - 7 MR. LIPSON: I THINK THE QUESTION IS
- 8 WHETHER THE SPECIFIC KINDS OF ASSISTANCE THAT

### LEND

- 9 TOWARD PROVIDING HELP TO JURISDICTIONS ON THE
- 10 IMPLEMENTATION SIDE, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN IN
- 11 SPECIFIC TERMS. I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT
- 12 NEEDS MORE TIME.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THE POINT ABOUT
- 14 IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE AS OPPOSED TO PLANNING
- ASSISTANCE HAS BEEN THE REASON WHY I'VE BEEN
- 16 PUSHING THIS THING. I MEAN IT'S THAT TRANSITION
- 17 THAT IS, IN MY MIND, THE WHOLE PURPOSE FOR THIS,
- 18 NOT THAT WE'RE GOING TO STOP PLANNING ASSISTANCE,
- 19 BUT THAT SHOULD BE SHRINKING AS OUR PRIORITY, AND
- THE PRIORITY NEEDS TO BE, AS YOU'VE SAID,
- 21 IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE. SO WE WELCOME THE

- 22 FURTHER COMMENTS AND LOOK FORWARD TO INCORPORATING
- THOSE CONCERNS INTO THE PLAN AT THE BOARD MEETING.
- 24
- MR. LIPSON: THANK YOU. CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY OTHER 25

- 1 COMMENTS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE?
- 2 MEMBER GOTCH: NO.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'LL WELCOME A MOTION
- 4 ON THIS ITEM. I WOULD SUGGEST ACCEPTING THE
- 5 STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND PLACING IT ON THE
- 6 BOARD'S REGULAR DISCUSSION AGENDA.
- 7 MEMBER FRAZEE: THAT'S WHAT I WAS GOING
- 8 TO SAY. SO MOVED.
- 9 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE
- 11 PRIOR ROLL. THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO. AND
- 12 I'D LIKE TO THANK STAFF VERY MUCH FOR ALL THE GOOD
- 13 WORK AND CALL ON JUDY TO MAKE HER ADDITIONAL
- 14 COMMENTS.
- 15 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, I APPRECIATE THAT,
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. JUST A
- 17 COUPLE COMMENTS THAT I'D LIKE TO MAKE. IT'S NOT
- 18 LOST ON ME THAT MANY FOLKS HAVE SAID, "NOW THAT
- 19 ALL THE PLANS ARE DONE, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO
- 20 WITH ALL THOSE STAFF THAT HAVE BEEN WORKING ON
- 21 PLANS?"
- 22 AND THE -- YOU KNOW, YOU'VE NOTED
- 23 THAT, YES, WE STILL CONTINUE TO GET REQUESTS FOR
- 24 PLANNING ASSISTANCE. AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO AS

WE'RE STILL WORKING TOWARDS A HUNDRED PERCENT

- 1 COMPLIANCE ON PLAN ADEQUACY, AS YOU KNOW. BUT,
- 2 YOU KNOW, WE DO HAVE THE BULK OF THE PLANS IN, SO
- 3 THIS PLAN LAYS OUT WHAT THE BLUEPRINT FOR
- 4 IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE. AND THAT WAS A
- 5 QUESTION, AND IF YOU NOTE IN THE ATTACHMENT, THERE
- 6 ARE SEVERAL LISTINGS IN THIS PARTICULAR PLAN OF
- 7 ALL THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF ACTIVITIES THAT STAFF
- 8 ARE PROPOSING TO ENGAGE IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTATION
- 9 ASSISTANCE.
- 10 AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING IN
- ORDER TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD, THE
- 12 STRATEGIC PLAN, AND THIS SUBPLAN UNDER THE
- 13 STRATEGIC PLAN IS LOOKING AT ORGANIZING
- 14 APPROPRIATELY TO MEET IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE
- 15 NEEDS. AND SO WE ARE UNDERGOING A LOOK AT HOW
- 16 WE'RE ORGANIZED WITHIN THE DIVISION TO MAKE SURE
- 17 THAT WE MAXIMIZE RESOURCES ON IMPLEMENTATION
- 18 ASSISTANCE. AND YOU WILL BE HEARING MORE FROM ME
- 19 ON THAT WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT
- 20 COMES BACK IN SEPTEMBER TO THIS BOARD. I JUST
- 21 WANTED TO GIVE YOU HEADS UP ON THAT JUST SO THAT
- 22 YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WE ARE RETOOLING TO MEET
- TODAY'S NEEDS.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: EXCELLENT. THANKS.
- OKAY.

THE FINAL ACTION ITEM IS CONSIDERA-1 2 TION OF THE PROPOSED WINNERS FOR THE 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM. 3 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: CAREN TRGOVCICH ASKED THAT 5 I INTRODUCE JEFF HUNTS FOR THE PRESENTATION FOR 6 STAFF. 7 MR. HUNTS: MORNING. GOOD MORNING, 8 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. MY NAME IS JEFF HUNTS. I'M THE SUPERVISOR OF THE BUSINESS EDUCATION 9 ASSISTANCE SECTION. I HAVE WITH ME THIS MORNING 10 LINDA HENNESSY, WHO IS THE WASTE REDUCTION 11 12 PROGRAMS -- AWARD PROGRAM COORDINATOR. 13 AND THIS ITEM IS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED 14 LIST OF 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM OR 15 16 WRAP WINNERS. 17 IN SHORT, THE WRAP APPLICATIONS HAVE ALL BEEN SCORED, AND THE LIST OF POTENTIAL WINNERS 18 19 HAVE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE PERMITTING AND 20 ENFORCEMENT DIVISION TO DETERMINE IF THERE WERE 21 ANY OUTSTANDING REGULATORY OR COMPLIANCE ISSUES 22 RELATED TO ANY OF THE APPLICANTS. IN FACT, I BELIEVE ONE OF THE ATTACHMENTS TO THIS ITEM WOULD 23 24 HAVE BEEN THE LIST OF IDENTIFIED APPLICANTS, BUT THERE WERE NONE THAT HAD OUTSTANDING REGULATORY 25

1 ISSUES. 2 BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, THE WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM, THE WRAP PROGRAM, IS AN 3 4 ANNUAL PROGRAM ESTABLISHED IN 1993 BY THE BOARD THAT RECOGNIZES CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES THAT HAVE 5 6 MADE OUTSTANDING EFFORTS TO REDUCE NONHAZARDOUS 7 SOLID WASTE AND SEND LESS GARBAGE TO OUR 8 LANDFILLS. 9 EACH YEAR APPLICANTS COMPLETE AN 10 APPLICATION WITH QUESTIONS THAT APPLY TO THEIR RESOURCE EFFICIENCY AND WASTE REDUCTION 11 PRACTICES. 12 APPLICANTS DO NOT COMPETE AGAINST EACH OTHER, BUT INSTEAD MUST SATISFY THE BREADTH OF WASTE 13 REDUCTION ACTIVITIES RANGING FROM PREVENTION, 14 REUSE, RECYCLING, AND THROUGH BUYING RECYCLED, AS 15 WELL AS EMPLOYEE EDUCATION. 16 17 EACH YEAR THE STAFF REVISES AND 18 APPROVES THE LAST YEAR'S APPLICATION. HIGHLIGHTS OF THE REVISIONS THAT WERE INCORPORATED IN THIS 19 20 YEAR'S APPLICATION INCLUDED EXTENDED DIRECTIONS ONTHE DIFFERENT WAYS BUSINESSES COULD APPLY FOR A 21 22 WRAP AWARD, MULTIFACILITY-TYPE APPLICANTS. WE

HAD	
23	A QUESTION THAT MENTIONED ISO 14000, THE
24	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM STANDARDS, TO GET
25	A FEEL FOR HOW CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES ARE

1	PERCEIVING THAT SYSTEM.
2	WE'VE CONVERTED THE WRAP'S PREVIOUS
3	INDUSTRY TYPE CATEGORIES TO COINCIDE WITH THE 38
4	SUBPOPULATIONS THAT ARE USED WITHIN THE BOARD'S
5	UNIFORM WASTE CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE. WE HAVE
6	A SPECIAL FIVE-YEAR WINNER RECOGNITION COMPONENT,
7	AND WE'VE REFINED SOME OF OUR SCORING METHODOLO-
8	GIES TO CORRECT PREVIOUS INEQUITIES THAT WERE
9	AFFECTING SMALL BUSINESSES.
10	ADDITIONALLY, STAFF EACH YEAR ALSO
11	SEEKS TO IMPROVE THE WAY THE PROGRAM, OVERALL
12	PROGRAM, OPERATES. LATELY, WE HAVE BEEN USING THE
13	BOARD'S WEBSITE TO PUBLICIZE THE PROGRAM AND TO
14	ACTUALLY MAKE THE APPLICATION AVAILABLE VIA OUR
15	WEBSITE. WE'VE ADJUSTED THE APPLICATION PERIOD SO
16	THAT WINNERS COULD BE PROMOTED DURING THE
17	NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED POLLUTION PREVENTION WEEK,
18	WHICH IS UPCOMING IN SEPTEMBER, AND WE HAVE BEEN
19	USING THE WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR COMPONENT OF THE
20	PROGRAM TO FURTHER PROMOTE THE PROGRAM AND THE
21	CONCEPTS OF BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION THROUGHOUT
22	THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY.
23	AS I SAID EARLIER, THE LIST OF
24	PROPOSED WINNERS HAS BEEN REVIEWED BY THE P&E

25 DIVISION AND WITHOUT ANY IDENTIFIED ISSUES. THE

- 1 TIME FRAME THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT FOR PROMOTING --
- 2 FOR RECOGNIZING AND PROMOTING WRAP WINNERS THIS
- 3 YEAR IS DURING POLLUTION PREVENTION WEEK, WHICH IS
- 4 SEPTEMBER 15TH THROUGH THE 21ST. AND A COPY OF
- 5 THE RESOLUTION THAT BOTH DESIGNATES THIS YEAR'S
- 6 WINNERS AND PROMOTES THEM DURING POLLUTION
- 7 PREVENTION WEEK IS ATTACHED TO THIS ITEM.
- 8 LINDA, HERE, WILL NOW RUN THROUGH
- 9 SOME OF THE NUMBERS THAT RESULTED FROM THIS YEAR,
- 10 AS WELL AS PAST YEAR'S PROGRAMS.
- MS. HENNESSY: YEAH. JEFF'S GOING TO PUT
- 12 UP A COUPLE OF GRAPHICS. THIS YEAR WE HAD 278
- 13 WINNERS OUT OF 290 APPLICANTS. WE HAVE COMPARED
- 14 THAT -- OH, AND OUT OF THE 278 WINNERS, 18 OF
- 15 THESE ARE FIVE-YEAR WINNERS. THEY'VE APPLIED AND
- 16 WON EVERY YEAR OF THE PROGRAM'S EXISTENCE, SO
- 17 THAT'S -- WE'RE DOING A SPECIAL CERTIFICATE FOR
- 18 THEM THIS YEAR FOR THAT AND A LETTER.
- 19 MEMBER GOTCH: LINDA, MAY I INTERRUPT
- 20 RIGHT HERE. I'D LIKE TO ASK IF IN THE FUTURE WE
- 21 COULD ADD ANOTHER COLUMN AND LET US KNOW OF THE
- 22 QUALIFYING APPLICANTS, WHICH ONES HAVE BEEN
- 23 PREVIOUS WINNERS AND HOW MANY TIMES.
- MS. HENNESSY: OH, SURE. IN FACT, WE'RE

25

STARTING TO TRACK A LOT MORE OF THAT KIND OF DATA

- 1 AND GETTING IT ON OUR DATABASE HERE. IN THE PAST
- 2 THE CONTRACTORS KEPT ALL THAT, BUT IT'S
- 3 INTERESTING AND FUN TO BE ABLE TO DO THESE
- 4 MANIPULATIONS.
- 5 MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU.
- 6 MS. HENNESSY: THIS IS THE '97 WINNERS.
- 7 OUT OF THE 278, 18 ARE FIVE-YEAR WINNERS, 42 HAVE
- 8 WON FOR FOUR YEARS, ETC. AND THEN 128 JUST OF THE
- 9 THESE APPLICANTS JUST HAVE WON THIS YEAR.
- 10 THIS IS COMPARING THE FOUR YEARS OR
- 11 THE FIVE YEARS SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE PROGRAM
- 12 THE NUMBER OF WINNERS ACROSS THE YEARS.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NOW, BEFORE SOMEBODY
- 14 ELSE ASKS ABOUT THE 1997 NUMBER BEING LESS, I WAS
- 15 GOING TO ASK FOR THAT EXPLANATION.
- 16 MS. HENNESSY: I WAS JUST GOING TO GET
- 17 INTO THAT. WHILE IT LOOKS LIKE A LOWER NUMBER,
- 18 LAST YEAR WE STARTED, BASED ON A REQUEST FROM VONS
- 19 GROCERS -- SUPERMARKETS, WHO WANTED TO APPLY, BUT
- 20 DID NOT WANT TO SUBMIT AN INDIVIDUAL APPLICATION
- 21 FOR EACH SITE. THEY WANTED TO APPLY AS ONE
- 22 ENTITY, AND WE HADN'T DONE THAT BEFORE. AND SO
- 23 PREVIOUSLY TARGET HAD BEEN APPLYING FOR A HUNDRED
- 24 PLUS OF THEIR STORES THROUGHOUT THE STATE.
- 25 SO THIS YEAR -- WE TOLD VONS LAST

- 1 YEAR THAT THEY COULD DO THAT AND THEY DID, AND
- 2 THEY WON LAST YEAR. THIS YEAR WE MADE IT PART OF
- 3 THE APPLICATION, GIVING THE CHAINS AN OPTION TO
- 4 APPLY DIFFERENT WAYS, EITHER AS ONE ENTITY OR
- 5 INCLUDING SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION, AND THEY
- 6 WOULD GET AN AWARD CERTIFICATE FOR EACH SITE.
- 7 WELL, THIS YEAR, IF WE HAD NOT
- 8 CHANGED THE RULES, THIS YEAR VONS AND TARGET HAD
- 9 APPLIED LIKE TARGET HAD IN THE PAST, WE WOULD HAVE
- 10 HAD OVER 700 WINNERS INSTEAD OF 278. BUT WE'RE
- MORE INTERESTED IN RECOGNIZING THE GOOD PLAYERS
- 12 OUT THERE, THE BUSINESSES THAT ARE DOING GOOD
- 13 THINGS, AND GIVING THEM THE RECOGNITION IN HOPES
- 14 THAT -- WELL, BECAUSE THEY DESERVE IT AND TO
- 15 STIMULATE INTEREST AMONG THE REST OF THE BUSINESS
- 16 COMMUNITY. WE'RE MORE INTERESTED IN THAT THAN IN
- 17 NUMBERS, BUT WE DO ALWAYS LIKE TO SEE THE PROGRAM
- 18 GETTING OUT THERE BETTER AND BETTER.
- 19 THE NEXT GRAPHIC --
- 20 MR. HUNTS: THAT JUST SHOWS LAST YEAR'S
- 21 MINUS TARGET.
- MS. HENNESSY: THAT JUST SHOWS -- YEAH.
- 23 IF WE HAD TAKEN TARGET FROM THE PREVIOUS YEARS.
- 24 MR. HUNTS: OR RATHER TARGET HAD APPLIED
- 25 AS A SINGLE ENTITY AS OPPOSED TO THE --

- 1 MS. HENNESSY: STILL 1994 WAS A GOOD
- 2 YEAR. I NEED -- THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WE NEED
- 3 TO KEEP ANALYZING THE WHOLE PROGRAM FOR IS TO SEE
- 4 WHAT WORKS AND WHERE WE CAN IMPROVE IT.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANOTHER WAY TO SHOW
- 6 WOULD BE TO BUILD A CHART THAT ADDS ANOTHER
- 7 SCREENED LINE -- BAR OR SOMETHING ABOVE FOR THIS
- 8 YEAR, THE NUMBER THAT YOU GAVE, WHICH IS WHAT IT
- 9 WOULD BE IF THEY HAD CONTINUED TO APPLY AS THEY
- 10 HAD IN PREVIOUS YEARS.
- MR. HUNTS: IF WE REALLY WANTED TO PAT
- 12 OURSELVES.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I JUST THINK IT'S
- 14 IMPORTANT FOR THE SAKE OF MOMENTUM AND SHOWING --
- 15 BUILDING SUPPORT THAT WE STILL HAVE ALL THOSE
- 16 INDIVIDUAL UNITS INVOLVED. IT'S JUST THAT THEY'RE
- 17 BEING MEASURED SEPARATELY.
- MS. HENNESSY: DO YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD
- AND PUT THIS UP OF THE 18 WINNERS THAT WON FIVE
- 20 YEARS IN A ROW, SINCE IT'S NOT THAT LONG OF A
- 21 LIST.
- 22 AND, YOU KNOW, THESE RANGE IN SMALL
- BUSINESSES FROM THREE EMPLOYEES TO LARGER
- 24 BUSINESSES WITH UP TO 5,000. AND WE HAVE AUTRY
- 25 MUSEUM OF WESTERN HERITAGE, BV, BIOWORLD PRODUCTS,

- 1 EMCON, FENDER MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS, WHICH I HEAR IS
- 2 USING THE WRAP LOGO ON THEIR PACKAGING. FIREMEN'S
- 3 FUND INSURANCE IN NOVATO IS A BIG -- BESIDES
- 4 WINNING FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS, ALL FIVE YEARS,
- 5 THEY'RE COSPONSOR OF HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 6 EVENTS IN THEIR COMMUNITY. THEY'RE REAL
- 7 PROACTIVE. GOOD COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT.
- FLAIR CLEANERS. IT'S NICE TO HAVE A
- 9 DRY CLEANERS REPRESENTED IN WRAP AT ALL, LET ALONE
- 10 BEING A FIVE-YEAR WINNER. HERMAN MILLER,
- 11 HEWLETT-PACKARD IN ROSEVILLE, MARIN CONSERVATION
- 12 CORPS, THE NEW UNITED MOTOR MANUFACTURING, PACIFIC
- 13 STORAGE COMPANY, PORTOLA PACKAGING, ST. JOHN'S
- 14 REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, WARNER BROTHERS, WATERMAN
- 15 INDUSTRIES, WORLDWISE INC., AND YOSEMITE
- 16 CONCESSION SERVICES. THOSE ARE THE 18 FIVE-YEAR
- 17 WINNERS.
- AND IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR
- 19 NEED ANY KIND OF ANALYSES OF ANY OF THESE NUMBERS
- 20 OR ARE CURIOUS ABOUT ANY OF THE DETAILS, GIVE US A
- 21 CALL OR AN E-MAIL, AND WE'LL DO WHAT WE CAN ON
- 22 GETTING YOU THE INFO.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I HAD MADE ONE
- 24 SUGGESTION TO STAFF, AND I'D BE INTERESTED IN
- 25 FEEDBACK FROM THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS, THAT

<b>±</b>	SINCE WE KE GOING TO BE IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,
2	THAT WE TAKE THE, WHAT, FOUR
3	MS. HENNESSY: THERE'S FOUR CONTRA COSTA
4	WINNERS.
5	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: CONTRA COSTA ITEMS
6	AND ASK IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT BECAUSE
7	WE'D HAVE TO PROBABLY ADOPT A LIST EARLY IN THE
8	MEETING IN ORDER TO ACTUALLY HAND OUT THE AWARDS
9	BEFORE THE I MEAN HAVE THE ACTION DONE BEFORE
10	WE HAND THE AWARDS OUT. BUT IT SEEMS LIKE WE
11	OUGHT TO SEE WHAT INTEREST THERE IS FROM THOSE
12	FOUR BUSINESSES IN ATTENDING THE BOARD MEETING IN
13	ORDER TO PUBLICIZE, EVEN THOUGH THE OVERALL
14	STATEWIDE PUBLICITY EFFORT, IT SOUNDS LIKE, WILL
15	START IN SEPTEMBER. WE MIGHT AS WELL UTILIZE THE
16	OUT-OF-TOWN MEETINGS FOR WHAT THEY WERE
ORIGINALLY	
17	INTENDED FOR, WHICH WAS TO GENERATE LOCAL
18	PUBLICITY AND INTEREST IN THE BOARD'S PROGRAMS.
19	SO IS THERE ANY DISAGREEMENT WITH
20	THAT?
21	MEMBER GOTCH: I AGREE.
22	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO IF YOU COULD
23	MS. HENNESSY: WE'LL GIVE THEM A CALL

AND

24 INVITE THEM.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WORK WITH PUBLIC

- 1 AFFAIRS TO TRY TO GET SOME NEWS ADVISORIES OUT SO
- 2 THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO GENERATE SOME PUBLICITY
- FOR THEM TOO.
- 4 MR. HUNTS: THIS YEAR WE HOPE TO
- 5 PROMOTE -- NOT HOPE, WE WILL BE PROMOTING WRAP
- 6 WINNERS REGIONALLY RATHER THAN SENDING OUT A
- 7 BLANKET PRESS RELEASE WITH THE ATTACHED LIST OF
- 8 300 SOMETHING WINNERS. WE WILL BE DIVIDING IT UP
- 9 INTO REGIONS, HIGHLIGHTING THE MORE NOTABLE
- 10 WINNERS WITHIN EACH REGION, CUSTOMIZING THE PRESS
- 11 RELEASE, AND THEN WORKING WITH OUR CONTRACTOR TO
- 12 HAVE FOLLOW-UPS TO TRY AND GENERATE ADDITIONAL
- PRESS COVERAGE. AS YOU KNOW, WRAP ANNUALLY
- 14 RECEIVES VERY FAVORABLE PRESS COVERAGE THROUGHOUT
- 15 THE STATE. AND WE FIGURE WE CAN'T GET ENOUGH OF
- 16 THAT.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, NOT ALL OF THESE
- 18 BUSINESSES, BECAUSE SOME OF THEM ARE STATEWIDE IN
- 19 NATURE, BUT FOR MANY OF THEM THAT REGIONAL OR
- 20 LOCAL NEWS STORY IS MUCH OF THE BENEFIT THEY GET
- 21 OUT OF THE WRAP AWARD IS THE SPOTLIGHT BRIEFLY
- 22 SHOWN ON THEM FOR THEIR RESPONSIBLE POLICIES, YOU
- 23 KNOW. SO I THINK THAT'S A GREAT MOVE TO GIVE THEM
- 24 A LITTLE MORE LOCAL PUBLICITY.
- 25 MR. HUNTS: SEE WHAT WE CAN DO FOR THEM.

ANOTHER ASPECT THAT WE LOOKED AT 1 2 THIS YEAR WAS WHETHER OR NOT WRAP OF THE YEAR AND THE ADDITIONAL PROMOTION OF WRAP OF THE YEAR 3 WINNERS WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT ON APPLICANTS. 4 5 LINDA DID SOME ANALYSIS AND FOUND THAT NORTH STAR 6 AT TAHOE, CORBELL CHAMPAGNE SELLERS, PACKARD BELL, 7 NEC WERE -- APPLIED THIS YEAR AND WERE WINNERS. 8 AND WE FIGURED THAT IS PROBABLY A RESULT OF THE 9 '96 WRAP WINNERS AT SIERRA AT TAHOE AND BV AND HEWLETT-PACKARD BEING RECOGNIZED. SO WE THINK 10 THAT COMPONENT IS PAYING OFF TO ATTRACT ATTENTION 11 12 TO THE PROGRAM. 13 ONE OTHER THING, WE SET AS A GOAL FOR OURSELVES THIS YEAR TO HAVE REPRESENTATION 14 FROM EVERY COUNTY IN THE STATE. AND -- WELL, WE 15 FELL SHORT. THERE'S BEEN SOME TURNOVER. THIS 16 17 YEAR WE DID PICK UP AMADOR COUNTY. WE LOST MADERA. WE PICKED UP MENDOCINO, PICKED UP NEVADA 18 19 COUNTY, LOST SANTA BARBARA, SURPRISINGLY, BUT ONE 20 OF THE REASONS FOR THAT IS THAT TARGET IS --APPLIES THROUGHOUT -- ACTUALLY TARGET IS BASED IN 21 22 MINNEAPOLIS, SO THERE IS NO TARGET COUNTY. WE LOST SHASTA AND SUTTER, BUT PICKED UP TEHAMA AND 23 24 TRINITY COUNTIES. MEMBER GOTCH: OF COURSE, YOU WANT TO 25

GET

- 1 DEL NORTE ON THERE.
- 2 MR. HUNTS: YES. WE'LL BE ALL OVER THAT
- 3 THIS COMING YEAR.
- 4 WE HAVE LOTS OF GOOD PLANS FOR THIS
- 5 COMING YEAR ON HOW TO TARGET OUR PROMOTION BETTER
- 6 AND PIGGYBACKING ON SOME OF OUR BUSINESS WASTE
- 7 REDUCTION OUTREACH THAT OTHER PROGRAMS ARE DOING.
- 8 SO WE HOPE THE PROGRAM CONTINUES TO GROW.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GREAT.
- 10 MEMBER GOTCH: GREAT JOB. QUESTION ON
- 11 THE NONQUALIFIERS. AS FAR AS THE NONQUALIFIERS
- 12 GO, IS THAT MOSTLY BECAUSE OF UNDER THE 75 POINTS
- 13 OR WHATEVER THE CUTOFF IS, OR DO WE HAVE SMALL
- 14 INFRACTIONS ON THEIR APPLICATION? THEY PERHAPS
- 15 DID NOT COMPLETE THEM? WHAT TYPE OF --
- MR. HUNTS: EVERY YEAR LINDA
- 17 PAINSTAKINGLY REVIEWS THE NONWINNER -- WE DON'T
- 18 CALL THEM LOSERS -- THE NONWINNER APPLICATIONS,
- 19 THOSE THAT HAVE FALLEN BELOW THE THRESHOLD, THE 75
- 20 PERCENT. AND ACTUALLY EVERY YEAR WE RATCHET UP
- 21 THE BAR TO WHAT WE EXPECT BUSINESSES -- HOW WE
- 22 EXPECT BUSINESSES TO PERFORM. LINDA REVIEWS THE
- 23 APPLICATIONS JUST TO SEE IF THERE'S BEEN ANY
- 24 ISSUES IN THE SCORING, IF THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION
- 25 PERHAPS. AND I THINK FOR THE MOST PART THE -- HOW

- 1 MANY DIDN'T WIN THIS YEAR?
- 2 MS. HENNESSY: THIRTEEN.
- 3 MR. HUNTS: -- 13, THAT IT WAS BECAUSE OF
- 4 LARGE PORTIONS OF THE APPLICATION SIMPLY LEFT
- 5 UNCOMPLETED.
- 6 MEMBER GOTCH: WHAT DO WE DO THEN? ARE
- 7 THEY SENT BACK OR WE JUST --
- 8 MR. HUNTS: WE FOLLOW UP WITH THEM EACH
- 9 YEAR. THIS YEAR WE WILL BE SENDING OUT
- 10 PERSONALIZED NONWINNER LETTERS, IDENTIFYING WHERE
- 11 THE DEFICIENCIES WERE AND OFFERING OUR ASSISTANCE
- 12 TO, YOU KNOW, ENCOURAGING THEM TO APPLY NEXT YEAR
- 13 AND HOW CAN WE HELP THEM.
- 14 MEMBER FRAZEE: THAT DOES. I WAS JUST
- 15 NOTING THAT OF THE 13, FIVE WERE FROM SAN DIEGO
- 16 COUNTY.
- 17 MS. HENNESSY: I FEEL BAD ABOUT THAT
- 18 BECAUSE ONE OF THE WAYS WE TRIED TO DO SOME
- 19 OUTREACH THIS YEAR WAS LOOK AT THE BUSINESS
- 20 JOURNAL, THE TOP LIST WHEN THEY GIVE TOP 50,
- 21 WHATEVER, SOME OF THOSE BUSINESSES THAT WE'VE
- 22 LOOKED AT AS JUST BEING SECTORS THAT GENERATE A
- 23 LOT OF WASTE LIKE HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS AND
- 24 THINGS LIKE THAT. AND SO THERE WERE ANY LIST OF
- 25 HOTELS, WE SENT OUT A POSTCARD TO.

WELL, TWO OF THOSE HOTELS IN, I 1 2 BELIEVE, IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY APPLIED, PROBABLY AS A RESULT OF US SENDING OUT THE POSTCARD, AND THEN 3 4 THEY DIDN'T WIN. I FELT REALLY BADLY ABOUT THAT. 5 AND WE HAVE A LOT OF REALLY GOOD INFORMATION ABOUT б HOTEL WASTE REDUCTION AND WASTE PREVENTION. 7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: LOOK AT IT AS AN 8 OPPORTUNITY. 9 MEMBER GOTCH: IN FACT, FOR THE WASTE 10 WISE, WHATEVER, I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THE 11 HOTEL/MOTEL WORKSHOP WAS CALLED, BUT DO WE HAVE A LIST OF THOSE HOTELS THAT HAD ATTENDED THE 12 13 WORKSHOPS, AND ARE THEY ON THE MAILING LIST? 14 MR. HUNTS: THEY WILL BE. MS. FRIEDMAN: THEY CAN BE THOUGH. WE DO 15 HAVE A LIST OF THOSE WORKSHOP ATTENDEES. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE DON'T WANT TO PUT ALL OUR RESOURCES INTO NONWINNERS. HOWEVER -- NO, 18 SERIOUSLY I THINK IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO WORK 19 WITH THEM TO GET THEM IN AND GET THEM 20 QUALIFIED 21 NEXT YEAR.

MS. HENNESSY: WE'LL BE SENDING

22

THEM A

- 23 LETTER OFFICIALLY NOTIFYING THEM, AND I'LL FOLLOW
- THEM ALL UP WITH PHONE CALLS AND OFFER ANY
  OF OUR
- 25 ASSISTANCE.

1	MEMBER GOTCH: GOOD JOB.
2	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YES. I'M VERY
3	EXCITED. I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO ALL THE
4	ATTENTIONS FOCUSED ON THESE BUSINESSES.
5	THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT THE
6	APPLICATION SCORING AND RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD
7	THAT THE LIST BE DEEMED THE 1997 WRAP WINNER AWARD
8	LIST.
9	MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.
10	MEMBER FRAZEE: AND SECOND.
11	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
12	SECONDED, AND WE WILL, AS GENERAL DIRECTION TO
13	STAFF, TRYING TO GET SOME OF THESE BUSINESSES
14	BEFORE THE BOARD AT THE CONTRA COSTA MEETING
15	MARTINEZ MEETING. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL
16	CALL. THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO. AND THAT
17	COMPLETES NOT ONLY THIS ACTION, BUT THE
18	MEMBER GOTCH: I HAVE SOMETHING FOR OPEN
19	DISCUSSION, AND THAT IS TO WISH MR. CHESBRO HAPPY
20	BIRTHDAY. TODAY IS HIS 46TH BIRTHDAY.
21	(APPLAUSE.)
22	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
23	WITH THAT, WE'LL CALL IT QUITS FOR

TODAY.

(END OF PROCEEDINGS AT 11:10 A.M.)